# Condensed Transcript of the Deposition of **Peter Thorne, M.S., Ph.D.**

Date: June 6, 2006

Case: Hodgins v. Carlisle Engineered Products

**Herring Reporting Services** 

Phone: 563-355-0503

Fax: 563-355-2968

Email: herring reporting @earthlink.net

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

PIERRE HODGINS,
Plaintiff,
vs.
No. 1:02-CV-1454

CARLISLE ENGINEERED
PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,
Defendants.

## APPEARANCES

VIA VIDEO CONFERENCE:

D. DAVID ALTMAN COMPANY BY: D. David Altman, Esquire 12 East Eighth Street, Suite 200 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 513-721-2180 Attorneys for Plaintiff;

VIA VIDEO CONFERENCE:

VORYS, SATER, SEYMOUR & PEASE BY: Marcel C. Duhamel, Esquire 2100 One Cleveland Center 1375 East Ninth Street Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1724 216-479-6100 Attorneys for Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF PETER THORNE, M.S., PH.D.,

taken pursuant to notice and agreement of the parties, at the University of Iowa, 23 Lindquist Center South, Video Conference Room, Iowa City, Iowa, on June 6, 2006, commencing at 9:15 a.m., before Tammy Jones, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Iowa.

	Page 2		Page 4
1	INDEX	1	Q. And I'm going to do my best to pause before
2	Witness	2	I begin speaking after you finish a sentence, so that
3	PETER THORNE, M.S., PH.D.	3	I avoid speaking over you. All right?
4	- , - ,	4	A. Fine.
	Examination Page	5	Q. If at some point I should speak over you,
5		6	please let me know. Raise your hand or do something
	Mr. Duhamel	7	to indicate that I interrupted you, because I do not
6 7	Mr. Altman82	8	intend to do that, and I do want you to be able to
8		9	answer every question fully. Okay?
9		10	A. Yes. And I will also do my best not to
10	EXHIBITS	11	interrupt you.
11	Thorne Exhibit Marked	12	Q. Fair enough. Thank you.
12	Nos. 1 and 2 3	13	Doctor, I gather you have testified before;
40	No. 376	14	is that correct?
13 14		15	A. Yes.
15		16	Q. Very briefly, as I'm sure you're aware, the
16		17	court reporter is taking down what we say. It would
17		18	be helpful if you would confine your responses to
18		19	verbal responses, rather than shrugs of the shoulders
19		20	or statements like "uh-huh" or "huh-uh." Is that all
20		21	right?
21 22		22	A. Yes.
23	Certificate of Court Reporter85	23	Q. If I ask you a question and you do not know
24	Octamodic of Court Reporter	24	the answer, an "I don't know" is, of course, a
25		25	perfectly accepted response. All right?
	Page 3		Page 5
1	JUNE 6, 2006	1	A. Yes.
2	(Thorne Exhibits 1 and 2 were marked	2	Q. And, of course, if you don't remember the
3	for identification.)	3	answer, simply tell me, "I don't remember," and
4	PETER THORNE, M.S., PH.D.,	4	that's also perfectly acceptable. Okay?
5	being first duly sworn, was examined and	5	A. Right.
6	testified as follows:	6	Q. If I ask a question, as is likely, that you
7	EXAMINATION	7	don't understand, please do not answer it until you
8	BY MR. DUHAMEL:	8	ask me to rephrase it and I have articulated the
9	Q. Good morning, Dr. Thorne.	9	question in a way that you understand. Is that fair?
10	A. Good morning.	10	A. That's fair.
11	Q. My name is Marcel Duhamel. I represent the	11	Q. If you do answer a question that I've
12	Defendants in this case in which I understand you	12	asked, the record will assume that you understood it
13	have proffered an expert opinion to the Plaintiffs.	13	and you intended to answer it the way you answered
14	Is that correct?	14	it. Is that fair?
15	A. Correct.	15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Doctor, we're taking this deposition by	16	Q. Doctor, are you taking any medication, or
17	video conference today, and as I'm sure you've	17	do you have any medical condition that would impair
18	noticed, there seems to be a bit of a transmission	18	your memory or your ability to concentrate or to give
19	delay, maybe a couple of seconds. So what I'm going	19	accurate testimony today?
20	to ask is, after I ask a question, if you would pause	20	A. No.
21	for a moment before you begin to answer it to ensure	21	Q. Doctor, before the deposition began, we
22	that I have finished speaking and to give your	22	marked Exhibit 1. Could you locate that and tell me
23	Counsel a chance to object, if he feels it's	23	what it is?
24 25	appropriate you do that. Is that okay?	24	A. Exhibit 1 is an expert report that I
レノカ	A. Yes.	25	prepared for the purposes of this case.

Page 6 Page 8 1 1 Q. I would like you to turn to Page 2 of A. Yes. 2 Exhibit 1. I should have thought of this before we 2 Q. Prior to the deposition, we marked marked it, but I didn't. Is Page 2 the substitute 3 3 Exhibit 2. I would like you to locate this and identify it for me, if you would. 4 page that you sent after preparation of the report? 4 5 5 A. Exhibit 2 is a copy of my Curriculum Vitae A. In the report that's marked Exhibit 1, the 6 6 Page 2 is as originally submitted, and then affixed submitted at the time I was contacted about serving 7 7 to the end of it is the substitute Page 2 that was as an expert in this case. 8 submitted after the initial report. 8 Q. I notice it indicates that it was prepared 9 Q. Okay. When did you submit the substitute 9 on August 18, 2005. Is that accurate? 10 page to your -- to Counsel for the Plaintiffs? 10 A. Yes. A. I'm not certain of the date, but it was Q. Have there been any significant changes or 11 11 12 perhaps within two months of the initial submission. 12 additions to your Curriculum Vitae since the date on I could check that date for you, if you would like. 13 which you prepared Exhibit 2? 13 14 Q. Well, let's put it this way. What caused 14 A. There are five subsequent Curriculum Vitaes 15 the substitution? Did you notice that something 15 since this time as it's constantly being updated to 16 needed to be changed to your report? 16 reflect additional teaching, new grant support and 17 A. In reexamining the report subsequent to the 17 further publications. It's an ongoing process. initial, when I came back to the report and in 18 Q. Are you able, sitting here today, to 18 19 discussions I was having about the content of my 19 identify for me the additional publications since 20 report and the case, it was noted both by me and by 20 August 18, 2005? 21 one of the associates in Dr. Altman -- or 21 A. No. And the reason is that there -- I 22 22 Mr. Altman's firm that under B it was a bit ambiguous typically have about 16 -- 10 to 16 publications per 23 the way I had initially written it. And so I simply 23 year at this point in my career, and I can't offer 24 offered to clarify that language when it was pointed 24 those full citations off the top of my head. I'd be 25 out to me that it was not as clear as it could have 25 happy to provide them for you. Page 7 Page 9 been. So to answer, I noticed the problem in 1 Q. Well, that's reasonable, and I will ask 1 2 2 discussions with it, and I offered to put in a that you provide them, if you would be willing to do 3 3 substitute page, and I subsequently did so. 4 Q. Could you summarize for me what the 4 A. Certainly. 5 material differences are between the substitute page 5 Q. Doctor, your Curriculum Vitae --6 and the original page? 6 MR. ALTMAN: Peter --7 A. Yes. It's very simple. The substitute 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 page describes what is known as a DNAPL, and the 8 MR. ALTMAN: You provide those to me, 9 initial page did not mention DNAPLs as a class of 9 and we will provide them to Marcel. 10 chlorinated solvents or a designation of chlorinated 10 THE WITNESS: I understand. 11 solvents. Q. (BY MR. DUHAMEL) Doctor, if you could turn 11 12 MR. ALTMAN: Marcel. Dave Altman. 12 to Page 51 of your Curriculum Vitae? A. (Pause.) 13 MR. DUHAMEL: Yes. 13 14 MR. ALTMAN: Could you read back --14 Okay. 15 could the court reporter read back what Dr. Thorne 15 Q. Beginning on Page 51 and continuing onto said? I was interrupted by my office asking about Page 52, there is a list under the subject 16 16 17 those exhibits -- and just the part where you asked 17 Professional Consulting or Services as Expert 18 him the question, why did you make the changes or Witness. Do you see that list? 18 19 whatever that question was? 19 A. Yes. Q. Is that list up to date? 20 (The reporter read the requested 20 21 portion of the record.) 21 A. Yes. 22 MR. ALTMAN: Thank you. 22 Q. There are a few entries on this list I'd 23 Q. (BY MR. DUHAMEL) Doctor, is the language 23 like to ask you some specific questions about. The under Paragraph B before Item B(1) the only language 24 24 first one is on Page 52, and it shows a range from 25 25 that changed in your report? 1997 to 1999, and it indicates Altman & Calardo Co.,

	Page 10		Page 12
1	Cincinnati, Ohio. Do you see that?	1	Q. And that's reflected on your CV; is that
2	A. Yes.	2	correct?
3	Q. Can you describe for me the services that	3	A. Yes, it is.
4	you were providing under that entry?	4	Q. At what page?
5	A. Yes. I served as an advisor on some legal	5	A. It's Exhibit 1, Page 11. I'm sorry. Did
6	matters as well as serving as an expert on a case	6	you say "CV"? It's in my report, which is Exhibit 1.
7	during that time.	7	Q. Okay. All right. It's not on your CV, per
8	Q. Which case did you serve as an expert on	8	se, but it is on Exhibit 1; is that correct?
9	during that time?	9	A. Correct.
10	A. (Pause.)	10	Q. Okay. What kind of case was that?
11	I'm pausing to see if I can remember the	11	A. Can you clarify what you mean by "what kind
12	name of the case.	12	of case"?
13	(Pause.)	13	Q. Do you recall what the issues in that case
14	I don't remember the name of the case at	14	were?
15	this point.	15	A. Yes, I do. There was
16	Q. Do you recall if he represented a plaintiff	16	Q. What were those issues?
17	or a defendant?	17	A. The case involved a manufacturing facility
18	A. I don't remember which case it was, so I	18	that was adjacent to a property, and there were
19	don't know.	19	concerns among the owners of that property of
20	Q. It also said you provided some consulting	20	materials coming from the manufacturing facility to
21	in addition to the expert testimony; is that correct?	21	their property and the fact that there wasn't proper
22	A. Yes.	22	notification to responsible authorities with regard
23	Q. Could you describe what you meant by that?	23	to environmental regulations environmental
24	A. My recollection is that at that time, there	24	regulations, and there was also concern about the
25	were some legal matters that Mr. Altman would contact	25	contaminants causing adverse health effects among
	Page 11		Page 13
1	me about from time to time and ask for some expertise	1	residents living in that vicinity.
2	in toxicology in terms of matters that he was	2	Q. If I understand correctly, you were deposed
3	considering.	3	in that case?  A. Yes.
4	Q. You did not provide expert reports as a result of those consultations?	4 5	
5 6	A. No.	6	Q. Do you recall the substance of the opinion you rendered in that case?
7	Q. Okay. A few entries down, there's another	7	A. My recollection is that I offered specific
8	entry, 2000 to 2006, D. David Altman Co., Cincinnati,	8	information about the toxicologic properties of the
9	Ohio. Do you see that?	9	materials that were in use at that facility and
10	A. Yes.	10	materials that were identified off site from that
11			
	Q. First of all, is the D. David Altman in	11	facility on adjacent properties.
12	Q. First of all, is the D. David Altman in that entry the same as the Altman in the entry we	11 12	facility on adjacent properties.  Q. Did you render any opinion in that case as
	Q. First of all, is the D. David Altman in that entry the same as the Altman in the entry we were discussing a moment ago?	11 12 13	facility on adjacent properties.  Q. Did you render any opinion in that case as to whether any individual in fact had suffered any
12	that entry the same as the Altman in the entry we	12	Q. Did you render any opinion in that case as
12 13	that entry the same as the Altman in the entry we were discussing a moment ago?	12 13	Q. Did you render any opinion in that case as to whether any individual in fact had suffered any
12 13 14	that entry the same as the Altman in the entry we were discussing a moment ago?  A. Yes.	12 13 14	Q. Did you render any opinion in that case as to whether any individual in fact had suffered any adverse health effect as a result of exposure to any
12 13 14 15	that entry the same as the Altman in the entry we were discussing a moment ago?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you describe for me the work that you	12 13 14 15	Q. Did you render any opinion in that case as to whether any individual in fact had suffered any adverse health effect as a result of exposure to any substance?
12 13 14 15 16	that entry the same as the Altman in the entry we were discussing a moment ago?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you describe for me the work that you did with respect to that second entry?  A. The work was similar to what we just described in terms of occasionally answering	12 13 14 15 16	Q. Did you render any opinion in that case as to whether any individual in fact had suffered any adverse health effect as a result of exposure to any substance?  A. There were medical experts involved with
12 13 14 15 16 17	that entry the same as the Altman in the entry we were discussing a moment ago?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you describe for me the work that you did with respect to that second entry?  A. The work was similar to what we just described in terms of occasionally answering questions that he would pose regarding matters of	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Did you render any opinion in that case as to whether any individual in fact had suffered any adverse health effect as a result of exposure to any substance?  A. There were medical experts involved with that case that offered medical opinions regarding the health consequences of exposures. I was offering expert opinion on the toxicologic properties of those
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that entry the same as the Altman in the entry we were discussing a moment ago?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you describe for me the work that you did with respect to that second entry?  A. The work was similar to what we just described in terms of occasionally answering questions that he would pose regarding matters of toxicology interest, and in addition to that, I	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Did you render any opinion in that case as to whether any individual in fact had suffered any adverse health effect as a result of exposure to any substance?  A. There were medical experts involved with that case that offered medical opinions regarding the health consequences of exposures. I was offering expert opinion on the toxicologic properties of those materials and their movement via aerosol transport.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that entry the same as the Altman in the entry we were discussing a moment ago?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you describe for me the work that you did with respect to that second entry?  A. The work was similar to what we just described in terms of occasionally answering questions that he would pose regarding matters of toxicology interest, and in addition to that, I served on one case as an expert witness where I	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Did you render any opinion in that case as to whether any individual in fact had suffered any adverse health effect as a result of exposure to any substance?  A. There were medical experts involved with that case that offered medical opinions regarding the health consequences of exposures. I was offering expert opinion on the toxicologic properties of those materials and their movement via aerosol transport.  Q. So if I understand correctly and please
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that entry the same as the Altman in the entry we were discussing a moment ago?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you describe for me the work that you did with respect to that second entry?  A. The work was similar to what we just described in terms of occasionally answering questions that he would pose regarding matters of toxicology interest, and in addition to that, I served on one case as an expert witness where I offered a deposition.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Did you render any opinion in that case as to whether any individual in fact had suffered any adverse health effect as a result of exposure to any substance?  A. There were medical experts involved with that case that offered medical opinions regarding the health consequences of exposures. I was offering expert opinion on the toxicologic properties of those materials and their movement via aerosol transport.  Q. So if I understand correctly and please tell me if this is not correct you were not
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that entry the same as the Altman in the entry we were discussing a moment ago?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you describe for me the work that you did with respect to that second entry?  A. The work was similar to what we just described in terms of occasionally answering questions that he would pose regarding matters of toxicology interest, and in addition to that, I served on one case as an expert witness where I offered a deposition.  Q. Which case was that?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Did you render any opinion in that case as to whether any individual in fact had suffered any adverse health effect as a result of exposure to any substance?  A. There were medical experts involved with that case that offered medical opinions regarding the health consequences of exposures. I was offering expert opinion on the toxicologic properties of those materials and their movement via aerosol transport.  Q. So if I understand correctly and please tell me if this is not correct you were not expressing any opinion as to whether anyone had been
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that entry the same as the Altman in the entry we were discussing a moment ago?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you describe for me the work that you did with respect to that second entry?  A. The work was similar to what we just described in terms of occasionally answering questions that he would pose regarding matters of toxicology interest, and in addition to that, I served on one case as an expert witness where I offered a deposition.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Did you render any opinion in that case as to whether any individual in fact had suffered any adverse health effect as a result of exposure to any substance?  A. There were medical experts involved with that case that offered medical opinions regarding the health consequences of exposures. I was offering expert opinion on the toxicologic properties of those materials and their movement via aerosol transport.  Q. So if I understand correctly and please tell me if this is not correct you were not

Page 14

were expressing an opinion as to what the potential toxicological effect of exposure could be?

- A. I was offering an opinion both regarding the toxicologic properties and their effects and transport of materials via aerosol transmission, so that would be an exposure pathway. I did not examine the patients, nor did I offer a medical opinion based on examining a patient.
- Q. How were the substances at issue in that case transported off the site of the manufacturer?
- A. One of the principal manners by which the materials were transported off site was via air emissions from the facility that were coming from a variety of industrial processes at that facility, and that was the area that I was primarily concerned with from a toxicologic standpoint.
- Q. Did you address, in the opinion you rendered in that case or in your testimony, transport through groundwater?
  - A. No, I don't believe so.
- Q. Did you end up testifying at trial in that matter?
- 23 A. I did not.

Q. There is one entry on Page 11 of Exhibit 1
 above Cornett versus Welding Alloys. That's Sherlock

1 delivery of that home and for a portion of its use.

Page 16

Page 17

- Q. What was the nature of your testimony in that case?
- A. I testified with regard to the potential
  health effects of formaldehyde exposure, formaldehyde
  exposures typically seen in manufactured housing over
  time, industry standards regarding the manufacture of
  plywood and particle board with regard to
  formaldehyde emission and formaldehyde exposures
  among people who smoke cigarettes.
- 11 Q. Was the defendant in this case a smoker?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And did you express any substantive opinions through your testimony?
  - A. Yes, I did. I expressed the opinion that this particular manufactured home, having been on the lot for about a year's time, would have off-gassed formaldehyde and would have a lower amount of airborne formaldehyde than would a newly-manufactured home. I also expressed the opinion that based on published information and data available, generally, that the exposures she would be likely to attain from entering that home for one hour would be considerably less than she would receive from smoking two packs of cigarettes per day.

Page 15

- Homes versus Margaret Nims. Do you see that?
- A. Yes.
- 3 Q. First of all, was that trial testimony or
- 4 deposition testimony or both?
  - A. Trial testimony.
- 6 Q. Had you been deposed in that matter?
- 7 A. No
  - Q. Who retained you to testify in that case?
- 9 A. The firm representing a business referred 10 to as Sherlock Homes.
  - Q. What was the nature of the dispute, if you recall?
  - A. Sherlock Homes is a company that sells manufactured housing. And they had entered into a contract with Mrs. Nims to purchase a dem- -- a demo or a lot model of a manufactured home, which was then delivered to her and put on the property that she had so designated. She then refused to pay for that delivery and for the home on the basis that she felt she was exposed to formaldehyde from that home. And she had not understood that there were warnings placed about formaldehyde exposure, and there was debate within the trial over whether or not she was made aware of that properly or not. And as I

understood it, the company was seeking payment for

Q. Let me state this as a proposition and see if you would agree with it based, at least in part, on the description of the opinion that you rendered in the Sherlock Homes case. Would you agree that a substance's potential toxicological impact on human health depends, at least in part, on how the people or person in question is exposed to the substance and at what dose and over what period of time?

MR. ALTMAN: Objection.

A. Are you speaking generally, or are you speaking specifically with regard to the Sherlock Homes versus Nims case?

- Q. I'm speaking generally.
- A. Okay. So we're not talking about --MR. ALTMAN: Wait a minute, Peter.

Peter.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. ALTMAN: Continuing objection.

Go ahead.

Continuing objection.

Go ahead.

A. So as I understand the question that you've asked me, you've asked if -- are you referring to exposure to a single compound, or are you referring to exposure to an array of compounds in a complex

Page 18 Page 20 mixture? Can you clarify that for me, please? 1 the particulars of that case, as I sit here now. 1 2 Q. (BY MR. DUHAMEL) Sure. 2 Q. Do you know if you have ever testified on 3 3 Let's start by asking the question with behalf of a defendant? 4 respect to exposure to a single compound. 4 A. (Pause.) 5 5 A. Okay. Such as perhaps --I see that Mr. Altman is answering the door 6 6 MR. ALTMAN: Continuing -- Peter, here, so I'll pause a moment for him. 7 7 pause. Continuing objection. MR. ALTMAN: Thank you very much. I am 8 Go ahead. 8 back at the table. 9 9 A. Well, of course, exposures to an individual A. Okay. I will resume. 10 compound are a very rare event in the real world and 10 I have served as an expert for defendants. 11 when we're talking about exposures to people. If I don't believe that I've been deposed in any of 11 12 you're talking about perhaps a laboratory rat or a 12 those cases or given testimony at trial. 13 laboratory mouse, where you can control everything 13 Q. Did you say --14 exposed to an individual substance, in some cases, 14 MR. ALTMAN: Marcel, excuse me. 15 the route of exposure will make a difference, and in 15 Peter, I'll instruct you to review with 16 some cases, it does not. It will depend upon the 16 both Counsel whether any information, unless you know 17 compound. In the real world, where you have exposure 17 sitting there, can be provided on those cases where 18 to complex mixtures with people who are not 18 you've been a consultant. I don't want you to 19 genetically identical, there will be individual 19 prejudice whatever those matters are, and so I'm going to ask you to be cautious concerning any 20 susceptibilities. There will be also -- which are 20 21 21 partially genetically determined. Also, specific answers. 22 22 susceptibility is determined by the age of the THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand that. 23 individual, in some cases their gender, underlying 23 Thank you. 24 health problems. And when they're exposed to an 24 Q. (BY MR. DUHAMEL) Doctor, are you able --25 25 array of compounds, exposure to one can certainly well, why don't we do it this way. If you could look Page 19 Page 21 affect the response to another. 1 at Pages 51 to 52, Exhibit 2? 1 2 2 Q. Have you ever published on that subject, A. Yes. 3 3 expressing that view? Q. If we could just go through the list, and 4 A. Yes. 4 if you could identify for me, one at a time, which of 5 Q. Could you identify for me those 5 the entities -- or, rather, what you did for each of 6 publications? 6 those entities, I would appreciate it. 7 7 A. Well, the one that comes to mind first is a A. Starting with 1982? 8 textbook. It's called -- it's referred to as 8 Q. Yes, please. 9 9 Casarett & Doull's Toxicology, "The Basic Science of A. I may not be able to remember all of them, 10 Poisons," and it's in its sixth edition, and I 10 but I will certainly do my best. 11 It begins with 1982, Union Carbide 11 published a chapter in that textbook on occupational 12 toxicology. And in that chapter, I created a diagram 12 Corporation. I was a graduate student at the time, 13 which indicates a pathway from exposure to response 13 and I worked with a professor. And we were 14 14 and indicates underlying susceptibility factors and considering the degradation of aldicarb in soil. 15 the influence of co-exposures to agents. There are 15 1982, Kikkoman Foods, Incorporated, Walworth, Wisconsin. This is a manufacturer of soy 16 other examples in my publications over the years, but 16 17 that's perhaps the most direct. 17 sauce, among other things. And they were interested 18 in creating a low-sodium soy sauce. And so we 18 Q. Other than the two pieces of testimony 19 designed a process that would exchange potassium for 19 history on Page 11 of Exhibit 1, have you provided 20 any other testimony as an expert witness in the last 20 sodium, and I tested some of the materials that would 21 five years? 21 be used in that process for compliance with FDA 22 22 guidelines on materials that come in contact with A. No. 23 Q. How about in the last ten years? 23 food. And, again, that was under the guidance and 24 24 A. I believe I was deposed in one other case collaboration of a professor I was working with.

Union Carbide Corporation, 1983 to '87.

25

nearly ten years ago, and I'm afraid I don't remember

25

Page 22

This was then a follow-up study on aldicarb degradation in various types of soils, depending upon whether they had bacteria in the soil or whether they were sterile.

1985, Environmental Quality Associates.
This was some work done on an indoor air quality problem, and I performed some analysis of samples.

1986 to 1987, Proctor & Gamble Company,
Cincinnati, Ohio. I worked as a consultant to
Proctor & Gamble in their toxicology division. They
were trying to set up an animal testing system for
looking at respiratory allergy. I had developed such
a system in my post-doctoral studies at Pittsburgh,
and so I was setting up and troubleshooting a system
for them to use for their testing.

1988, Duquesne Light Nuclear Group, Incorporated, Shippingport, Pennsylvania. This was a power generating facility that had an indoor air quality problem with their ventilation system where it was contaminated with microorganisms. I determined what the problem was and guided them on solving their problem.

Emilcott Associates, Incorporated, Madison, New Jersey, 1989. This was a consulting firm, and they were working in indoor air quality problems, I 1 S.C. Johnson & Sons, Incorporated, Racine, Wisconsin.

Page 24

Page 25

2 S.C. Johnson & Sons was concerned with issues of

3 allergy, allergic contact dermatitis specifically,

4 which is a contact allergy that one can attain from

5 certain types of consumer products that might be

applied to the skin, such as shampoos, cosmetics, in
 some cases dermally applied medicine. And they were

8 interested in having a way to test these in mice to

9 determine if perfumes, preservatives or other

10 components would induce toxic effects. And I worked

with them to develop a mouse method for testing for allergic contact dermatitis.

Allied Products Corporation, Chicago, Illinois, 1990. I'm not certain on this one. I -- my recollection is that this may have been an injury of an employee and a workplace evaluation, but I'm not -- I don't recollect that one clearly anymore.

Iowa Beef Processors, Incorporated, was a worker injury and consultation on that particular -- the circumstances that led to that injury.

1991, CNA Insurance Company was also a worker injury case, and I offered some information regarding the toxic properties of the compounds that were under question.

Green Environmental Services, Cedar Rapids.

Page 23

believe. And if I remember right, I analyzed samples for them as part of one of their investigations of a sick building.

1990, State of Iowa, Department of Natural Resources. In this case, I was involved with issues having to do with permitting of facilities and how modeling -- plume dispersion modeling would be used in order to determine compliance with state law.

- Q. Can I interrupt for one second?
- A. Yes.

Q. Going back to that, when you say you were modeling plume dispersion, could you be more specific? What were you talking about?

A. If I said I was modeling plume dispersion, I apologize. I don't think I said that. What I was doing was sitting on an expert panel that was helping the State and the regulated community consider models for plume dispersion modeling of facilities that generated air pollution. And as I recall, the issue was which models that had been developed would be acceptable for permitting purposes. And so I was part of an expert panel that was listening to that and offering guidance on specific issues.

- Q. Thank you. Please continue.
- A. Okay. I believe I was at 1990,

My recollection is, this had to do with using
 railroad ties for landscaping and questions about the
 properties of some of the materials that are used to
 coat railroad ties.

1995, Habush, Habush, Davis & Rottier, Madison, Wisconsin, is a law firm. And they were representing a firm that supplied hay to a dairy farmer and asked for an opinion with regard to the properties of microbial contaminants and micro-toxins in green feed hay and so forth.

1995, Smith, McElwain & Wengart Law Offices, Sioux City. I don't remember this one at all, I'm afraid to say, so I don't remember what that was about.

1995, Castrol Industrial of North America.
They are a manufacturer of metalworking fluids which are used for processing cast metal into finished products, such as engine blocks and transmissions.
And I had done a number of research studies on the health of machinists exposed to metalworking fluids.
And I was consulting with them on formulation of some of their products.

We've talked briefly about the next entry, '97 to '99.

1998, Hoogovens, Ijmuiden, The Netherlands.

Page 28 Page 26 This was a machining facility, a large machining 1 degradation and issues of the way toxic materials 1 2 2 facility. And they had a concern about machining behave in the environment. 3 3 fluids that they were using in their processing and Q. Holding aside what you may teach, I want to focus on your research and on your professional 4 microbial contaminants, and I spent one day with 4 5 them, consulting on their concerns. 5 consulting services. Is it fair to say that the vast 6 Wausau Insurance, Downers Grove, Illinois, 6 majority of that has dealt with airborne exposures or 7 7 1999. This was a worker injury case or consultation, contact exposures? 8 rather, and I evaluated the toxic properties of the 8 MR. ALTMAN: I'll object. 9 compounds in question. 9 Go ahead, Dr. Thorne. 10 We've addressed the next entry already. D. 10 A. Looking simply at my publication record, 11 David Altman Company. 11 which reflects my research, it spans an array of 12 2000, Shuttleworth & Ingersoll, P.C., Cedar 12 areas, and the unifying feature is that it has to do 13 Rapids. 13 with toxic agents in the environment and the way that 14 14 they induce adverse health effects. I think, put (Pause.) 15 I'm pausing to try to recollect what the 15 that way, that encompasses the vast majority of the 16 issue was there. Oh, yes, I remember. This was a 16 work that I've done. 17 case of a furniture sales store that did some 17 Q. With all due respect, I'm not sure that 18 refinishing of wood, and there was a worker with a that actually answers my question, so perhaps I'll 18 19 health concern regarding that. 19 put it this way instead. 20 2002, United States Department of Justice 20 MR. ALTMAN: Objection. 21 and US EPA Air Enforcement Division. This had to do 21 Q. Have you --22 22 with my laboratory and me performing some analyses of MR. ALTMAN: Objection. 23 samples taken from large poultry confinement 23 Go ahead. 24 facilities -- or taken in the vicinity of poultry 24 Q. Have you published on the subject of 25 25 confinement facilities, and these were analyzed for exposure to toxic substances through groundwater? Page 29 Page 27 1 1 the airborne microorganisms in their products. A. I have published on the toxic property of 2 2 2003, Sullivan & Ward, Des Moines, Iowa, is substances that can move through groundwater. Is 3 the case that we described, Sherlock Homes versus 3 that your question? 4 Margaret Nims. 4 Q. It is not. 5 2005, White & Johnson, P.C., concerned an 5 A. Okay. 6 indoor air problem and a dispute between the heating, 6 Q. Have you published on -- have you published 7 ventilating and air conditioning company that 7 on the exposure to substances that, in the context of 8 8 installed the ventilation system and the homeowners. what you were publishing on, were transported through 9 9 And that's the complete list. groundwater? 10 Q. Doctor, it seems to me, from hearing your 10 MR. ALTMAN: Objection. 11 description of that list and from looking at the 11 A. I'm going to look through the papers that 12 publications on your Curriculum Vitae, that a great 12 are listed and the abstracts and make sure that I 13 deal of your work has been focused on airborne 13 answer your question as best I can. There's --14 exposures and in some cases contact exposures; is 14 recognizing that it covers more than 20 years and 15 that correct? 15 more than 200 published abstracts, papers and book A. My published work, much of it is, indeed, 16 16 chapters. 17 focused on pulmonary or immunotoxicology. However, I 17 (Pause.) teach toxicology at the undergraduate and graduate 18 18 MR. ALTMAN: Marcel, I want to make level, and I teach general environmental health, and 19 19 clear my objection is to the form. I don't 20 I teach environmental epidemiology, a variety of 20 understand that question, but if the witness does, he 21 subjects. So I have expertise that is represented 21 should certainly answer it. 22 more in my teaching that is broader than what is 22 MR. DUHAMEL: The witness didn't seem 23 represented in the particular areas where I am 23 to have any problem understanding the objection --24 currently actively doing research. Within my 24 or, rather, the question. 25 published record, I have also looked at pesticide 25 MR. ALTMAN: I think the witness

Page 30 Page 32 repeat the question? I guess I should ask you, already answered your question, and I have a problem 1 1 2 with the form of your -- also of your next question 2 Mr. Duhamel. 3 3 that you now have, and so I've objected to form. But Q. That's fine. if the witness does understand what you're saying, he 4 4 MR. DUHAMEL: I'll ask the court 5 should answer. 5 reporter to -- I'll ask the court reporter to read 6 6 the question back. A. (Pause.) 7 7 (The reporter read the requested Looking at my record of publications as 8 reflected in Exhibit 2, I see that I have published 8 portion of the record.) several papers that cover or consider issues of 9 9 MR. ALTMAN: I continue to object. 10 substances that can move in water. This would 10 Go ahead. include metalworking fluids and their components. It A. I can think of one additional study that 11 11 12 12 considered, among many other exposures, exposures to would include arsenic. It would include several 13 contaminated water, and that would be No. 43 on 13 other metals, and it would include some pesticides. 14 Q. It appears to me that perhaps we are having 14 Page 13. 15 a little difficulty communicating, so let me try to 15 Q. Just to try to make sure our list is 16 narrow the focus of my question. Okay, Doctor? 16 complete, any others that you can recall? 17 A. Please do. 17 A. So you're not interested in studies of Q. Holding aside your studies of materials 18 materials to which people are exposed to groundwater, 18 19 that can move through water, have you ever published 19 but that were conducted in a laboratory? You're 20 on the subject of the toxicological properties of 20 excluding those, correct? 21 21 substances to which individuals were exposed through Q. Not intentionally. 22 22 contaminated groundwater? A. Okay. I can point to publication No. 11 on 23 A. Yes. 23 Page 12, which is Lightfoot EN, et al., Laboratory 24 24 studies on mechanisms for the degradation of MR. ALTMAN: Objection as to the form. 25 aldicarb, aldicarb sulfoxide and aldicarb sulfone, 25 Objection as to the form. Page 31 Page 33 Go ahead. 1 1987. 1 2 2 A. Yes. Similarly to that would be No. 32 on 3 3 Q. And could you identify those publications Page 13, Subramanian, Teesch, Thorne, Degradation of 4 for me? 4 3.5-dimethyl-tetrahydro-2H-1,3,5-thiadiazine-2-thione 5 A. The publication listed as No. 102. 5 in aqueous aerobic media. 6 Q. And that is, just to be sure we're correct, 6 Q. Let me ask, what is aqueous aerobic media? 7 Beane Freeman LE, Dennis LK, Lynch CF, Thorne PS, 7 A. That means water-based solutions that have 8 Just CL, Toenail arsenic content and cutaneous 8 oxygenation or air, and it makes a difference in 9 9 melanoma in Iowa? terms of degradation of compounds. 10 A. Cutaneous, yes. 10 Also, there are studies in the waste handling industry where people are exposed to 11 Q. Thank you. 11 12 And that's correct? 12 potentially infectious agents via ingestion. So 13 A. That's correct. 13 although that's not groundwater, it's through 14 14 ingestion of food and water, and, hence, it's not Q. That's the publication to which you were 15 referring? 15 respiratory and it's not percutaneous absorption, A. Yes. 16 which was part of your initial question. 16 17 Q. Any others? 17 Q. That's correct. Could you identify those MR. ALTMAN: Objection to form. 18 for me? 18 19 19 A. As I understand the question, it's A. There is a paper, No. 66, on Page 15, 20 exceedingly narrow. 20 Mahar, Reynolds, Thorne, and it's entitled Worker 21 Q. That is correct. It's a very narrow 21 exposures to particulates, endotoxins and bioaerosols 22 22 in two refuse-derived fuel plants. The title doesn't question, Doctor. 23 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the 23 necessarily reflect this, but we did look at 24 24 gastrointestinal illness among these particular question for me? 25 workers.

25

A. Am I allowed to ask the court reporter to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

Page 34

Then there's a paper now published that is listed on Page 18, and it's No. 6 at the top of the page, and at the time of this, it was a submitted paper. It is now published. And that is Lee, Johnson, Reynolds, Thorne, O'Shaughnessy, entitled Indoor and outdoor air quality assessment of four wastewater treatment plants. And in that there's also ascertainment of gastrointestinal illness as part of the evaluation.

As I glance at the list of these publications, those are the ones that come to mind as examples. There may be aspects of some of the other papers as well. For instance, there's also No. 94 on Page 16 that considered women serving in the Gulf War, the first Gulf War -- well, the first U.S. Gulf War and their combat experience exposures and utilization of health care, so that would also consider the water that they ingested.

- Q. Could you look at Page 51 and 52 of Exhibit 2 and do the same thing you just did with respect to professional consulting and serving as expert witness?
- A. Can you clarify what you mean by what I just did? I want to make sure I understand you.
  - Q. Sure.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 Page 36

Kikkoman Foods also -- in this case it was processed water used for manufacturing soy sauce.

1983 to '87, Union Carbide, same as what I just -- as the previous Union Carbide that was considering contaminants in water.

1988, Duquesne Light Nuclear Group. This was contaminants in water in the cooler condensing units in the ventilation system, but they were waterborne contaminants.

S.C. Johnson & Sons. These were contaminants in products that you use in the shower, in some cases, so those would be water, but they were -- exposure to those would mostly be by dermal absorption; although, one can have some ingestion, potentially.

Castrol Industrial of North America. Metalworking fluids can be contaminants of water and their products and their process oftentimes in municipal wastewater treatment system which may not adequately remove the contaminants from the water.

- Q. If I could interrupt you briefly. Specifically, what were you looking at in the engagement involving Castrol Industrial of North America?
  - A. I was -- the nature of that consultation

Page 35

Could you identify -- that's fine.

Could you identify any of those engagements which involved potential exposure to a substance through either ingestion of or contact with contaminated water?

MR. ALTMAN: Objection to the form. Marcel, you have now changed it to potential exposure, and that was not part of your first question. I don't know whether that was intentional or not.

# A. So you're asking for potential exposure to contaminated water, and any contaminant?

Q. Correct, for this list that we're looking at on Page 51 to 52, yes, where you actually considered the effects of potential exposure or actual exposure.

#### A. So 1982 --

MR. ALTMAN: I want the record to reflect that that is not the same question that was asked on the earlier list.

MR. DUHAMEL: I think the record will reflect whatever it reflects.

A. 1982, Union Carbide, that would -- that did consider potential exposures to substances in the water.

had to do with the formulation of machining fluids.

- 2 And the formulation includes what chemicals are put
- 3 into them in their initial formulation as well as
- 4 additives that are recommended. It also deals with
- 5 compounds that arise in the use of those metalworking
  - fluids in industrial processes and then the
- 7 ramifications of the waste treatment and recovery of
- 8 those machining fluids, because they're very
- 9 expensive. And oftentimes it is not allowed to have
- 10 certain contaminants or certain compounds included in
- 11 those put into the municipal sewer system. I think
- 12 if I were to go any further than that, it would get
- 13 into trade secrets with regard to their formulary,
- 14 and so I can't do that.
  - Q. All right. And that's it on the list, the ones you've already identified?

#### A. Yes.

- 18 Q. I interrupted you while we were talking 19 about Castrol, and I wanted to make sure there was 20 nothing subsequent to that.
  - A. Well, the list, 1998, Hoogovens, Ijmuiden, that was also machining fluids used in metal processing, so those have the potential to lead to ingestion exposure from water systems.
    - Q. Were you specifically studying that

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

Page 37

5

6

7

8

9

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 38

potential exposure and its effects with respect to that particular engagement?

MR. ALTMAN: Objection.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. When a company has a problem with a material in a process, oftentimes one doesn't simply look at one small aspect of the problem. So I was looking at a host of questions that they had regarding these materials, their toxic properties and how they could deal with cleaning them, reprocessing them, reusing them and disposing of them. So it was an element of the overall consultation. It wasn't the sole aspect of the consultation.
- Q. Doctor, let's turn to Exhibit 1. When were you first asked to prepare an expert report in this case?
  - A. It was early in the fall of 2005.
- Q. What specifically were you asked to express an opinion on?
- A. I was asked to consider the toxicants that were at the Carlisle facility or emanating from the Carlisle facility and provide information on their toxicologic properties.
  - Q. Were you asked to do anything else? MR. ALTMAN: Objection to form.
  - A. I was also asked to identify and define

1 (A recess was held at 10:31 a.m., and 2 proceedings resumed at 10:37 a.m.) 3

MR. DUHAMEL: Let us go back on the record, please.

Q. (BY MR. DUHAMEL) Doctor, I want to make sure that I understand the substance of your expert report, so I'm going to ask a few specific questions about what's contained in it.

Page 40

Page 41

- A. Very good.
- 10 Q. Does your expert report express any opinion as to whether any person has, in fact, been exposed to any toxic substance from the plant located at 12 13 Carlisle Engineered Products facility?
  - A. As we discussed before the break, my role in this and what I provided an expert opinion on was the nature of the toxic properties of those compounds. And it's my understanding that there are other experts that were retained to provide information on hydro-geology and on pathways and transport from the facility. And that would be Bruce -- Dr. Bruce Bell and Dr. Julie Wetherington-Rice, so that was not what I was asked to provide an opinion on.
  - Q. So just to be clear, your report does not express any opinion on that subject?

Page 39

what sorts of criteria are used for establishing the hazardous nature of compounds, for instance, carcinogens. And so I provided the group listings from the National Toxicology Program and from the International Agency for Research on Cancer and the criteria that they use.

In addition, I provided some information, where appropriate, on the degradation products that arise from some of the toxicants that I was expressing -- providing information about as to their toxicity. I also provided some information regarding the hazards associated with exposures to mixtures rather than individual compounds one at a time, because, as I expressed earlier, that's really very much of an artificial scenario that one might be exposed to a compound one at a time, and so I commented on the toxicity of mixtures as well.

- Q. Were you asked to do anything else?
- A. That's all I recall being asked to do.
- Q. We're going to take a momentary break. We've been going for about 90 minutes. We'll reconvene in two or three minutes, if that's okay.
  - A. It's fine with me.

MR. DUHAMEL: Very good. We're off the record.

A. I did not evaluate the transport or the fate of compounds from Carlisle, and so that's not a part of my report.

Q. Okay. Does your report express an opinion as to whether or not conditions at the Carlisle Engineered Products facility in Middlefield, Ohio, constitute or may constitute an emanate and substantial endangerment to human health or the environment?

A. The array of compounds that are associated with the facility and their toxicity and the notion that there's the potential for multiple contact with multiple agents is -- the full array of compounds is such that it is of a concern to me that there are unknown or unstudied compounds as to their presence on the facility or their movement from the facility. So, although I haven't looked specifically or addressed in my report specifically the pathways, I expressed the concern that given the array of compounds that are there and their toxic nature, that it is potential to pose imminent substantial endangerment. Q. Can you show me in your report where you

- reach that particular conclusion?
  - A. I believe I said that I didn't specifically

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

15

19

23

24

25

Page 42 put that in my report. But what I did just state is 1 1 2 that, as I look at the list and I consider their 2 3 toxic effects, there's an array of very toxic to 3 offer an opinion on that subject? 4 potentially -- or moderately to very toxic compounds 4 5 present at this site. And I'm concerned that these 5

Q. I'm going to try again, because I think maybe we're misunderstanding each other, Doctor.

cause harm to the public health.

compounds and their fate has not been apparently

addressed in terms of considering the potential to

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just to be completely clear, I'm not asking you at all about what your current concerns or opinions may be. What I'm asking you is specifically about what opinions you actually expressed in your report.

So my question again is, does your report express any opinion on that subject?

A. I could point you to Page 9 at the bottom, Comment on the Toxicity of Mixtures. "The toxic profiles of the above compounds represent a synopsis of their effects when exposure occurs individually. Because of the nature of the chemicals discussed herein, it is likely that additive and synergistic effects would occur with combined exposures to these and other chemicals released from the Carlisle

offered opinions as to those points that you raise.

Page 44

Page 45

- Q. But your report doesn't raise or does not
- A. On the subject of transport from the site, no.
- 6 Q. And on the subject of exposure by any individual or group of individuals?

8 MR. ALTMAN: Objection. That's been 9 asked and answered.

MR. DUHAMEL: You know what? It has. I'll withdraw the question.

- Q. (BY MR. DUHAMEL) Doctor, have you been asked to provide a supplemental expert report?
- A. No, I have not.
- 15 Q. Did Mr. Altman or any attorney at Mr. Altman's firm send you a written engagement 16 17 letter?
  - A. Can you clarify what you mean by "a written engagement letter"? That's not a term that is familiar to me.
  - Q. All right. Let's put it this way. When you were first asked to serve as an expert in this case, were you asked orally or in writing?
    - A. Orally.
      - Q. Did Mr. Altman or any attorney in his firm

Page 43

- 1 facility. Further, exposures to the chemicals herein 2 occurring via multiple routes (i.e., inhalation, 3 ingestion, dermal) would likely lead to greater 4 toxicity than by one route of exposure for one or 5 multiple compounds." So that would be the place 6 where I'm referring to this issue of exposure to 7 multiple compounds which may have additive or 8 synergistic effects.
  - Q. I understand.

Does the -- let me put it this way. Does the phrase "imminent and substantial endangerment" appear anywhere in your report?

- A. No.
- Q. In Item D where you include Comment on the Toxicity of Mixtures, do you express anywhere in your report any opinion as to the likelihood of actual exposure to -- let me start again.

Does your report in any way express an opinion as to how likely it is that any person will be exposed to contaminated groundwater around the Carlisle Engineered Products facility?

MR. ALTMAN: Objection.

A. No. My report stands as it is. And as I pointed out before, there were other experts, Dr. Bell and Dr. Wetherington-Rice, that I understood ever send you a letter, indicating what they had asked you to provide an expert opinion on?

A. That was done orally.

Q. Did Mr. Altman or anyone in his firm ever ask you if you could provide an opinion on the subject of imminent and substantial endangerment?

A. You mean as a part of the report?

Q. Yes, sir.

A. No.

- 10 Q. Okay. Can we just turn to Page 2 of your 11 report, the substitute page?
  - A. (Witness complied.)
- 13 Q. Looking at the very first substance that 14 you address, trichloroethylene, do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- 16 Q. There is a reference to the EPA having 17 established an MCL in drinking water. Do you see 18 that?
  - A. Yes.
- 20 Q. What's an MCL?
- 21 A. It's a maximum contaminant level.
- 22 Q. Do you know what the purpose of an MCL is?
  - A. Yes. An MCL provides a benchmark by which we judge when there's excessive contamination of the medium, the water, from the standpoint of what's safe

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

Page 46

for the public.

- Q. Do you know if the EPA has ever determined that exposure to trichloroethylene in drinking water in an amount greater than five parts per billion is actually unsafe?
- A. The establishment of health-based standards consider an array of aspects of a risk assessment process. They consider the toxicity of the compound. In some cases, they consider other potential compounds that might be -- one might face exposure along with that compound. They use animal data, human data. They draw from an array of sources of information to determine a level that would provide an ample protection of the public for the particular substance that is being -- for which the MCL is being established.
- Q. Would you agree with me that that does not necessarily mean that the EPA has determined that an actual exposure at, say, six parts per billion is, in fact, unsafe, but merely that, instead --

MR. ALTMAN: Objection.

Q. -- instead, as a matter of policy, the EPA
 will set screening limits of five parts per billion?
 MR. ALTMAN: Objection as to form and

substance.

carcinogenicity they put a compound into, they consider a weight of evidence of all of the data that are available, deriving from occupational health studies, epidemiologic studies, community health studies, animal studies, in some cases, cell culture or mechanistic laboratory studies, and so they look at all of that information and make a determination as to whether the compound is a Group 1, a 2A, a 2B and so forth.

Page 48

Page 49

Q. Respectfully, I don't think that answered my question.

MR. ALTMAN: Objection.

When the NTP determines that a substance is reasonably anticipated to be a human carcinogen, is the NTP expressing a statement about what level of exposure to that substance is likely to result in the development of cancer in human populations?

A. The NTP publishes a list of agents that they have evaluated and as to their grouping, so those that are known to be a human carcinogen or reasonably anticipated to be carcinogenic become listed compounds. And when they are listed compounds, then they have that designation, and it is not -- a level for that is not set in the list. That's their process.

Page 47

- A. Standard setting is a process of evaluating the risks of a particular compound or suite of compounds and trying to identify a reasonable level that will protect the public, and when you're talking about protecting the public, it means the majority of the public. And so one has to recognize that there might be individuals within the public who are more susceptible by virtue of genetics, age, gender, other exposures that they have concurrently, personal lifestyle factors and the like. And so these standards provide that consideration, to provide an overall measure of public health protection. So these don't derive solely from a dose response type of argument in a selected subgroup of the population.
- Q. Your next sentence, "The NTP has stated that trichloroethylene is Group 2A, reasonably anticipated to be a human carcinogen," do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Is there a specific dose over a specific period of time at which the NTP has made that determination?
- A. Generally, when the NTP or IARC, the International Agency for Research on Cancer, makes a determination as to which category or group of

Q. Understand.

Do you express anywhere in your report any opinion as to what level of exposure to trichloroethylene is likely to result in the development of cancer in a human population?

MR. ALTMAN: Objection.

A. I have not done so, because these compounds, typically one is not exposed to them one at a time. Rather, it's as a mixture. And, furthermore, it's not a one size fits all in terms of the individual that is being exposed. As I've stated several times, there are susceptibility factors which then determine, in effect, the toxicity of these compounds or the effects that they're going to exert on an individual. So given the array of compounds that we're considering, it's -- I don't feel that it's particularly meaningful to look at an individual

compound and say something about, if you will, a dose

response for that individual compound.

Q. All right. Well, let's try it, then, with the entire array of compounds which, if I understand correctly, you address as a mixture only in Item D on Page 9 of your report; is that correct?

A. No.

MR. ALTMAN: Objection.

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

Page 52 Page 50 fruitful to try and identify a dose for each of these THE WITNESS: Sorry. 1 1 2 Q. (BY MR. DUHAMEL) Where else do you express 2 together that would yield some sort of a hypothetical 3 an opinion on the effect of these items as a mixture? 3 outcome. 4 A. What I was about to say before the 4 Q. Does your report express any opinion as to 5 objection, throughout here, there is a consideration 5 the nature of any actual exposure to any of these 6 of mixtures that in many cases there are statements 6 substances, separately or in combination with others, 7 7 as the environmental degradation products of the that people are actually experiencing or are likely 8 particular compound that's being described. And, 8 to actually experience around the Carlisle Engineered 9 Products facility? 9 hence, if there's degradation from the parent 10 compound to first one intermediate then another, then 10 MR. ALTMAN: Objection. 11 another, clearly there would be exposure to that 11 A. Throughout the report, it refers to the 12 12 suite of compounds in the environment, and so those haz- -- some of the hazardous effects that are 13 statements appear throughout the report. 13 associated with these particular compounds, adverse 14 Q. Let's try it this way. Does your report 14 health effects that occur in community-exposed 15 address -- I'm sorry. Does your report express any 15 individuals and occupationally-exposed individuals. 16 opinion on the dose or amount of exposure to the 16 And because the nature of the exposures to those 17 suite of substances you address that would be likely 17 living in the vicinity of the Carlisle facility 18 to result in harm to human health? 18 aren't fully understood, then it wouldn't be 19 A. I'm sorry. Can you restate or rephrase the 19 appropriate for me to try to express an opinion with regard to individuals or their exposures. 20 question for me, because I don't understand the 20 21 question? 21 Q. When you say the exposures are not -- are 22 22 Q. All right. Taking the entire suite of not fully understood, could you tell me what you mean 23 exposure -- of substances --23 by that statement? 24 24 A. Yes. There is -- there is evidence that A. So by that, you mean all 23 compounds that I've rendered information on? 25 25 has -- or there is information in other reports Page 51 Page 53 Q. Absolutely. submitted as part of this case that suggest off site 1 2 A. Okay. 2 transmission via groundwater, via air, via surface 3 Q. Take all 23 of them. 3 water, via soil and -- however, my understanding is 4 A. And their degradation products that I've 4 that these have not been studied sufficiently in 5 mentioned? 5 order to establish clearly the exposures that are 6 Q. Absolutely. 6 occurring to those who live in the vicinity of the 7 A. Okay. 7 Carlisle facility. 8 8 Q. Take all of them. Q. And your report does not address that issue 9 9 A. Yes. directly; is that correct? 10 Q. And consider it a mixture. Does your 10 A. Well, my report, as I've said, articulates 11 with regard to the adverse health effects associated 11 report express any condition as to what dose of 12 exposure to that mixture would be likely to result in 12 with these compounds and occupational and community 13 13 harm to human health? health settings, and so that's clearly relevant to 14 14 MR. ALTMAN: Objection. the exposures that the people living in the vicinity 15 A. The compounds in this report, some of them 15 of the Carlisle facility would experience and, appear in water; some of them might be carried in 16 16 therefore, the adverse health effects articulated, 17 windblown soil; some of them may be off-gassing from 17 that they may be at risk for those adverse health 18 soil, so there would be multiple routes of --18 outcomes. 19 potentially multiple routes of exposure and 19 Q. I don't think that's what I asked you, 20 differences in terms of temporal and spacial 20 Doctor. Let's try it again. 21 characteristics of the exposures. So I would venture 21 A. Okay. 22 to guess that it would be unlikely that one -- that 22 MR. ALTMAN: Objection, argumentative. 23 people living in different areas around the facility 23 Objection, argumentative. 24 24 could have exposure to exactly the same suite of Q. If I understood correctly, you told me that

there were some suggestions in some of the other

25

these mixtures. So, therefore, it would not be

25

Page 56 Page 54 1 No. 31, No. 32, No. 33 on Page 11. reports rendered by some of the other experts in the 1 2 2 Q. That's the information that you considered. case that there were some potential exposure 3 Is there anywhere in your report where you expressed 3 pathways, but that those exposure pathways have not the opinion that people are likely to be exposed to 4 yet been sufficiently studied to determine whether 4 5 and to what extent people in the vicinity were being 5 any of the substances that you've identified in the 6 6 report? I'm not asking about what other people may exposed. Is that correct? 7 7 MR. ALTMAN: Objection, or may not have said. I'm asking, is there anyone in 8 8 your -- anywhere in your report where you express mischaracterizes the testimony. 9 MR. DUHAMEL: Well, I'm asking him if 9 that opinion? 10 it's right. 10 A. Well, I guess, to that I would say --MR. ALTMAN: I'm objecting to what MR. ALTMAN: Objection. 11 11 12 your -- to your question. It mischaracterizes the 12 Peter --13 13 testimony. THE WITNESS: YES. 14 MR. ALTMAN: Objection. I think that's 14 A. So is there a question? 15 Q. There is. 15 been asked and answered. 16 16 MR. DUHAMEL: Would the court reporter Go ahead. A. I would say that it's implicit in the 17 read it back, please? 17 18 (The reporter read the requested 18 report, because, obviously, these compounds that 19 portion of the record.) 19 I've -- that I've described the toxicity of in my 20 MR. ALTMAN: I'm going to have an 20 report were not picked out of -- at random. They 21 objection as to form and as to the 21 were picked because they were compounds that evidence 22 22 mischaracterization. suggests were on site, and there's -- and were either 23 Go ahead, Doctor. 23 in the waste stream, the supply stream or were 24 A. So, there are other reports that speak to 24 identified in sampling. So the fact that these are what is known about the movement of materials and the 25 25 the ones that were selected as opposed to some other Page 55 Page 57 concentrations on site. There's less information, as 1 random compound is because there was the potential 1 2 2 I understand it, with regard to what is off site, but for exposure to these. So it's the nature of the 3 3 report. It's inherent in the report that those are there are -- the pathways are evident that there 4 4 is -- such that there would be exposure to the there for that reason, and, thus, it isn't something 5 materials from materials from the Carlisle facility 5 that one would expect to explicitly state. 6 to those who live in the neighboring vicinity. 6 I mean, it says, The facts and opinions 7 Q. (BY MR. DUHAMEL) Does your report -- I'm 7 about waste handling, storage, disposal practices, 8 talking about your expert report -- address the 8 fate and effects are provided by other reports. This 9 9 likelihood of actual exposure to any of these summarizes the properties of certain chemicals used 10 products or substances that might be experienced by 10 by and/or released from the Carlisle facility. So anyone within the vicinity of Carlisle Engineered 11 11 that sentence demonstrates that these are picked 12 Products? 12 because they have the potential -- that they were 13 A. The list of compounds comes from 13 used by and have the potential for release or were 14 14 released from the Carlisle facility. So I think the materials -- lists of materials from the facility 15 that were -- that were used there. They were 15 answer to your question is, yes, if I understand your 16 supplied to the facility. They were in the waste 16 question. 17 17 (A discussion was held off the record.) streams from the facility. Therefore, given that 18 they were in use on the facility, there's the 18 Q. (BY MR. DUHAMEL) Doctor, had you read any 19 potential for them to cause exposure off site and 19 other expert reports at the time you prepared this 20 then -- so that extent, that is -- that is an element 20 report? 21 21 MR. ALTMAN: Objection. of my report, yes. 22 22 A. You said "have I"? Do you mean "had I"? Q. Could you show me where your report says 23 that? 23 Q. Had, had, H-A-D. 24 A. Yes. Thank you. Had I --24 A. On Page 10, on the listing of information 25 25 considered in forming my opinion, there's No. 30, Q. At the time you prepared this report.

Page 58 Page 60 facility and, therefore, having the potential to A. I don't believe I had. I do not recollect 1 1 2 2 lead to exposures to individuals who live in the having read any other report at the time I did this. 3 3 Q. And I notice that in Item 2, Information facility -- vicinity of the facility, so to that 4 Considered in Forming My Opinion, none of those 4 extent, the answer would be yes. 5 5 expert reports submitted by the Plaintiffs is listed; Q. All right. Let's try it this way. Other 6 than the fact that somebody told you that these 6 is that correct? 7 7 products, at least at some time, had been used at the A. So there are two reports listed on Page 2 8 under No. 2, and they do not appear in the list on 8 facility, do you have any reason to believe, that is 9 expressed in your report, that anyone is likely to be 9 Pages 10 and 11. 10 Q. That's what I'm asking, and that's correct, 10 exposed to these products around the Carlisle Engineered Products facility? 11 11 right? They do not appear on the list on Page 11 --12 MR. ALTMAN: Objection. 12 A. Yes, that's what I stated. 13 A. The items I pointed to earlier, the letters 13 Q. -- Pages 10 and 11? 14 14 Okay. Doctor, at the time you prepared and attachments between and to people at Carlisle 15 your report, had you spoken to anyone at Bennett & 15 demonstrate that there was use of these materials and Williams Environmental Consultants? 16 16 in some cases demonstrates that there was exposure on 17 A. No. 17 site, so the answer to your question would be yes. Q. At the time you prepared your report, had 18 Q. Other than the fact that some of the items 18 19 you spoken to anyone at Carpenter Environmental 19 on which you relied say that, does anywhere in your 20 Associates? 20 report express an opinion on that subject? 21 21 A. No. MR. ALTMAN: Objection. This is 22 Q. Who supplied to you the list of substances boarding on argumentative. 22 23 that you evaluated in your report? 23 A. As I stated, the -- what I was asked to do 24 and my role as an expert was to provide information 24 A. This -- these compounds were on a list on the toxic properties of the compounds used by or 25 25 provided to me by Mr. Altman and his associates. Page 59 Page 61 1 Q. Have you -- let me rephrase. 1 found at the site. And there were other experts 2 2 Does your report contain any independent offering opinions on fate and transport and 3 3 assessment by you of the likelihood of exposure to hydro-geology and movement of the compounds, so, you 4 these substances by anyone around the Carlisle 4 know, I did just that. And the compounds that I 5 **Engineered Products facility?** 5 list -- I talked about in the report or gave 6 MR. ALTMAN: Objection. 6 information about in the report are in the report 7 7 A. I believe that that's been -- that you because they were used at the facility and referred 8 asked me that already, and I've answered that. This 8 to in various documents that are referenced therein. 9 refers to -- that the compounds are here because they 9 MR. DUHAMEL: Could the court reporter 10 are used by or released from the Carlisle facility, 10 read my question back? And I'll ask the witness to and that's what puts them on the list. Therefore, 11 actually answer it. 11 12 12 there's the potential --MR. ALTMAN: Objection. Marcel, we're 13 going to at least take a break if you continue to 13 Q. I remember you said that. 14 14 argue with the witness. A. -- for exposure. 15 Q. But what I'm asking you is whether you 15 MR. DUHAMEL: Well, we can take a break after the witness answers my question. 16 independently have performed any actual assessment of 16 17 the likelihood of actual exposure to these products 17 MR. ALTMAN: No. What I meant was, we 18 may terminate the deposition if you continue to 18 or substances based on the way that they are 19 badger the witness. 19 currently in existence at the facility? 20 MR. ALTMAN: Objection. 20 MR. DUHAMEL: I'll ask my questions. 21 A. Well, first of all, this report is not --21 MR. ALTMAN: Well, you're not going to 22 doesn't reflect what's currently there, because this 22 intimidate the witness. 23 report came some time ago, as you know. And in 23 MR. DUHAMEL: I'm quite confident that 24 addition, the report is based on the information 24 Dr. Thorne is not going to be intimidated by me. 25 of -- that reflects the use of the materials at the 25 However, I will ask my questions until they're

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 62

1 answered.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: And I'm doing the best to answer the questions that you're posing to me, I can assure you.

MR. ALTMAN: Marcel, that's disrespectful and uncivil. And if you contrast the honest way this man's been answering with the people that have been put in front of me, it will be astounding.

MR. DUHAMEL: I'm not going to engage in that on this transcript, but --

MR. ALTMAN: Well, you're not going to insult Dr. Thorne, who is an honest and straightforward man.

MR. DUHAMEL: Nor have I suggested to the contrary. I'm going to ask the witness -- or, rather, the court reporter to go back and re-read the question?

MR. ALTMAN: Marcel, I have a high regard for you, but your questions have been almost incomprehensible.

(The reporter read the requested portion of the record.)

A. So there's two "that's" in the question that I'm not certain what they mean at this point, so that there had been some soil sampling performed that showed that there were compounds that are on -contained within my report appearing at levels that would not be expected in normal samples or non-contaminated samples, so that was also a piece of information that was part of my knowledge at the time that I wrote this report.

Page 64

Now, those were -- that's the best of my recollection with regard to what I knew at that time with regard to this case. And, of course, this has been a continuum. The report was -- the report authoring was at one time point along this continuum of learning more of this particular case, but to the best of my recollection, that's what I knew at that time.

Q. All right. Then apart from listing the sources of information on which you relied, does your actual expert report -- as distinct from whatever opinion you may currently hold, does your actual expert report express any opinion as to the likelihood of actual exposure to any of the substances at or around the Carlisle Engineered Products facility?

A. Well, I would --MR. ALTMAN: Objection.

Page 63

if you could rephrase your question or ask it again in a more specific manner, I'd be happy to answer it.

Q. (BY MR. DUHAMEL) All right. Let's try it this way, Doctor. I understand that you have told me that some of the items upon which you relied in formulating your report express the view that these substances that are the subject of your report were used at the facility. Is that understanding correct?

#### A. Yes.

Q. I also understood from your testimony -and please tell me if this is correct or a mischaracterization -- that to the extent to which you believe that people might be exposed to any of those products or substances, it is because you know them to have been used at the Carlisle Engineered Products facility; is that correct?

MR. ALTMAN: Objection as to form and substance.

- A. So you're asking, at the time I wrote the report and the information I had in my knowledge at that time contrasted with what I know today?
  - Q. Yes. Absolutely.
- A. Okay. So at the time I wrote my report, I knew of the listed compounds. I knew of the letters that I've referred to several times. I also knew

Page 65

- A. I'm sorry. I have to go back to the same answer I've given multiple times, which is that these compounds were used and -- in the waste products streams from the facility and they -- these compounds, by their very nature, have the potential to move in the environment. And so with that knowledge, one has to consider the possibility that people in the vicinity of the facility would have exposure. And looking at the transmissions and the correspondence in these particular letters that I've pointed to, it's reasonable to consider that that would be a possibility. So, I guess, it's a qualified yes to your question as stated.
  - Q. And what I'm specifically trying to understand, Doctor, is not what opinion you have right now. Where in your report does it express that view?

MR. ALTMAN: Objection.

A. So I would point again to my comments under Section D that we've talked about, and then I would -- I would point to the fact that this --Page 2 under No. 2, that the report summarizes the toxic properties of chemicals used by and/or released from the Carlisle facility. So those are the places where I refer to that.

Page 66

Q. Nowhere else?

- A. And then as I stated, it's implicit in the inclusion of these particular compounds on the list. They wouldn't be on the list if there wasn't a reason for it.
- Q. All right. Is the list of the chemicals that was supplied to you by someone at Mr. Hodgins' (sic) law firm, is that identified on Item 2 on Page 10?
- A. When we -- when you asked me about getting -- the inclusion of compounds, I believe I told you that some of the compounds were on a list, and I also point to compounds that were contained in these letters that I've referred to from the -- that represent company documents, so there isn't a list, per se. There was some compounds that were of interest to Mr. Hodgins' firm, and then there were compounds that were referred to in these particular letters and correspondences. And so all of that together became sort of a precursor to the compounds that are actually listed here, which is a subset of those other listings of compounds; both those that I came up with and those of others -- of the law firm, so there isn't a --
  - Q. But I understand there was a list --

Q. Does that list appear anywhere on your report as an item on which you relied in any way?

A. Well, first of all, I mean, there was not a list, per se. What there was in our correspondence was some compounds of interest, in some cases compounds that have alternative names, alternative spellings, and then that was some compounds that Mr. Altman's firm apparently had decided were of interest to them. Many of those were also compounds identified in my viewing of the documents that I've referenced in my list, and so it's a host of different compounds deriving from different sources.

Page 68

Page 69

Q. Doctor, did the list or any listing that Mr. Altman's law firm sent to you appear anywhere on your report as an item on which you relied in any way?

MR. ALTMAN: Objection.

A. I have not listed in the listing of sources information considered in forming my opinion. I have not listed a list of candidate compounds or compounds of interest to Mr. Altman among that list, so, no, it isn't listed in information considered in forming my opinion.

Q. Are any of the substances which you addressed in your opinion -- in your report

Page 67

1 MR. ALTMAN: Objection. Marcel, let

2 him finish.

MR. DUHAMEL: I was trying.
MR. ALTMAN: Go ahead and finish,

5 Peter.

A. Okay. This -- so what's represented here is the list of compounds in my report. There is no other list of compounds in my report other than what you see before you in Exhibit 1. Now, there was a more extensive list of an array of compounds that I considered in -- to include in my report, and that was drawn from my own investigations with regard to looking at these documents I've referred to as well as compounds that were of interest to Mr. Altman based on his review of company documents. Those -- in some cases, there were duplication between the various sources of information. All of that, then, I took and came up with a list that is what you see in the report here. That is the list that is reported on.

Q. (BY MR. DUHAMEL) Doctor, it is true, isn't it, that someone at Mr. Altman's law firm sent you a list of substances in which they were interested?

A. Yes.

MR. ALTMAN: Objection.

substances you addressed because Mr. Altman asked youto do so?

A. I don't know the answer to that, because I would have to look specifically at which compounds derived from what sources, which letters and so forth, and that's not something that I have endeavored to do.

Q. So if I understand correctly, sitting here today, you would not be able to go through your report and identify the substances that you studied because you saw through documentation that they were being used at the plant as distinct from documents or substances that you studied because Mr. Altman's firm asked you to study them?

MR. ALTMAN: Objection. There's been testimony on that. That's misleading, inaccurate, and I object to the form.

A. Sitting here right now, I do not know which compound came from which source, the letters and attachments, the correspondence, and which, if any, were on -- were suggested as compounds to be considered by Mr. Altman.

Q. Do you still possess correspondence from
 Mr. Altman, indicating which compounds they would
 like you to look at?

Page 70 Page 72 A. I don't believe so. 1 1 clear. When I say "engagement," I mean -- I don't 2 Q. When did you dispose of that? 2 mean the specific terms of your engagement. I mean 3 the services you rendered. Do you have any documents 3 A. I don't know. Generally, when I create a report such as this, notes such as, you know, 4 4 related to the performance of your expert services in 5 candidate substances to consider are something I 5 this case? 6 6 don't keep. A. Yes. And now you're talking about --7 7 Q. So would there be any way to reconstruct Q. Other than the ones you just described? 8 the source of your information with respect to any of 8 A. Yes. You're talking about now, correct, 9 the substances on your report? 9 meaning at this point in time as opposed to when the 10 A. I believe there would be, and that would be 10 report was written? 11 to see -- to look through carefully the letters and Q. I'm talking about at the time the report 11 12 attachments that are referred to in the list of 12 was written. Let me give you a few examples of what 13 information considered in forming my opinion and then I'm asking about. We'll try it this way. 13 14 to endeavor to determine if there are any compounds 14 A. Okay. 15 that I've provided in my report -- included in my 15 Q. Do you have any notes reflecting any of your work that ultimately led to your report? 16 report that were not in any of those documents. So 16 17 it would be something that would be done by 17 A. At the time of my report? 18 exclusion. 18 Q. Well, I'm talking about work that led to 19 Q. And what would we be able to determine by 19 your report, so presumably if you have notes that 20 that process of exclusion? In other words, if we 20 were generated after, they couldn't have led to your 21 were to look at the items on Page 10 and determine 21 report. 22 22 what substances exist on that -- on those documents A. Correct. But I may have had notes at the 23 and then determine that your report addressed 23 time I wrote my report that I no longer have. 24 24 additional substances, what would that tell us about Q. Okay. That's a fair question. 25 25 the source of your information concerning those Were there at any time documents related to Page 73 Page 71 additional substances? 1 this engagement that you had that you no longer have? 1 2 A. Yes. 2 MR. ALTMAN: Objection. 3 Q. What documents were those? 3 A. I would -- I would think that that would 4 4 A. Those would be notes that I make along the indicate that those compounds were included as an 5 outgrowth of my discussions with Mr. Altman's --5 way with regard to reading the scientific and 6 Mr. Altman and his associates. 6 toxicologic literature, notes that I make that are 7 7 Q. Other than your expert report and the items relevant to the generation of the report. 8 listed on Page 10, moving on to Page 11, do you have 8 Q. Would there be anything else? 9 9 any other documents in your possession that relate to A. There would have been -- I probably would 10 this engagement? 10 have at that time notes regarding -- that I would 11 A. I have an interim accounting of my time 11 take in conversations with Mr. Altman regarding 12 spent on the case and a bill that I submitted to 12 the -- you know, the report in terms of what he was 13 Mr. Altman's firm. 13 asking me to investigate in terms of, you know, 14 14 providing an opinion as to the toxic com- -- nature Q. Anything else? 15 A. You say in relation to the engagement. As 15 of the compounds that I was investigating. 16 I understand what you're asking, that would be all. 16 Q. Do any of those notes still exist? 17 Q. Well, let's be clear. When I say --17 A. I don't know. I would have to check. 18 18 MR. ALTMAN: Wait a minute. Marcel, Q. How about correspondence exchanged between 19 why don't you explain to him what you mean by -- you 19 you and Mr. Altman's law firm? 20 mean in connection with the services he performed, 20 A. There -- again, you're referring to at the 21 right, so far? 21 time I wrote the report, not now, correct? 22 MR. DUHAMEL: Yes. I was attempting to 22 Q. What I'm referring to is, were there 23 do that, David. 23 documents that you exchanged between yourself and 24 24 MR. ALTMAN: Go ahead. Mr. Altman's law firm or vice versa as of the time

25

Q. (BY MR. DUHAMEL) All right. Let's be

25

you wrote your report or prior?

Page 76 Page 74 A. Yes. Those listed under Nos. 30, 31, 32, 1 minutes and go off the record. 1 2 2 33 in the report, and there would probably be a -- or (A recess was held at 11:46 a.m., and 3 possibly be a cover letter saying, "Please find 3 proceedings resumed at 12:03 p.m.) (Thorne Exhibit No. 3 was marked for 4 herein" da, ta, da, ta, da. 4 5 Q. Would Mr. Altman's law firm have sent you 5 identification.) 6 6 any other correspondence? MR. DUHAMEL: Let's go back on the 7 7 A. Possibly correspondence to schedule a record. 8 telephone discussion that would come -- the type that 8 Q. (BY MR. DUHAMEL) Doctor, the court 9 reporter has handed you what's been marked Exhibit 3. would be arranged through a secretary. 9 10 Q. Any other kind of correspondence? 10 I have a couple of questions about it. First of all, have you ever seen a copy of this letter before 11 A. Not that comes to mind. 11 12 12 today? Q. I want to ask you now about what you 13 A. No. 13 currently have in your possession. 14 A. Okay. 14 Q. Do you know who Amy Leonard is? 15 Q. Other than the expert report, the interim 15 16 time record that you mentioned and the items listed 16 Q. Have you spoken to Ms. Leonard in the past? on Pages 10 to 11 of the report itself, do you have 17 A. Yes. 17 any other documents related to the work that you 18 18 Q. If you look at the fourth sentence of the 19 performed for the Plaintiff in this case? 19 letter, it states, and I'll read it, "Also, please 20 A. Yes. I -- would you like me to list them? 20 note that in addition to the enclosed materials, we 21 Q. Could you tell me what those documents are? 21 did provide Peter Thorne with the names of the 22 22 A. Yes. individual chemicals which he discusses in his 23 Q. Yes, please. 23 report." Do you see that sentence? 24 A. I have the report of Dr. Bruce Bell; 24 A. Yes. although, I don't -- I'm not certain I have all of 25 25 Q. As far as you know, is it accurate? Page 75 Page 77 the appendices with that. For instance, I don't 1 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 believe I have his CV. Similarly, I have the report 3 of Julie Wetherington-Rice. I have the report of 4 Dr. Richard Lewis. I have part of a summary area 5 investigation that I believe is from a firm called 6 Cox & Colvin. I have a transcript of the deposition 7 of Dr. Lewis. I have some notes I've taken and 8 perhaps some toxicologic profiles from the ATSDR, 9 Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, 10 that are drawn from their -- they post those on their 11 Internet site. And then if I've done reading in 12 toxicologic texts that are referenced, for instance, 13 the Casarett and Doull toxicology book, I believe, I 14 have some notes that I recorded when reading from 15 that text. 16

Q. Are those all things that came into your possession after the time you produced your expert report in this case?

A. Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. DUHAMEL: Okay. It's a quarter to 1:00. I propose we take a five-minute break. I'm going to review my notes. I think we are nearing completion.

24 THE WITNESS: Okay. 25

MR. DUHAMEL: Let's just take five

A. I can't be certain it's completely -- that it's completely accurate in that the word "the names" or the words "the names," it could potentially be "some of the names." And as I referred earlier, I can't be sure that -- I'm not certain, as I sit here, that the compounds I opined over in my report were all on a list provided to me by Mr. Altman's firm, because as I stated previously, I did look at these other correspondences, and those certainly list compounds that were in the waste streams and that were supplied or used at Carlisle. And so the compounds that I provided information on were drawn from the multiple sources that I previously suggested. I would guess that they're also provided on the EPA Web site that is referenced in the next sentence of this letter from Amy Leonard.

Q. That what is provided on that Web site, the chemicals that were used at Carlisle's facility?

A. No, no. That information --MR. ALTMAN: Objection, argumentative.

A. Information about the chemicals is on that Web site, not specifically which chemicals are used at the Carlisle facility. I'm sorry if I misformulated that. Q. I don't know that you did. I may have

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

22

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

Page 78

simply misunderstood.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. To the extent to which any of the substances you considered were substances that you looked at because Mr. Altman's law firm provided their names to you and not because you saw them in any of the other documentation to which you've referred, do you know where Mr. Altman's law firm got those substances to provide to you, the list of those substances to provide to you?

MR. ALTMAN: Objection. I don't think that's been his testimony at all.

- A. I would say that I would have to surmise as to what sources they might use, but I have no real knowledge of the extent of the information they had before them, so I guess the best answer is, I really don't know.
- Q. Okay. At the time you prepared your report, had you seen or relied on groundwater sampling data around the Carlisle Engineered Products facility?

#### A. (Pause.)

I was aware that there was concern with regard to groundwater at the property in the vicinity of the property, so I knew that that was an issue of concern in the case at that time.

Page 80

- of the compounds described in my report. I also looked up the MCL for vinyl chloride. And with regard to TCE, I looked at information on the half life of TCE in groundwater to determine what is the range of half lifes that we know about for aerobic, anaerobic groundwater, and I think that that's the extent of it.
- Q. You said you had done some recent work on vinvl chloride?
- A. Yes. Well, recent, yeah, consideration of it in the literature, if that's what you mean by "work."
- Q. When did you -- yes. When did you undertake that?

#### A. Yesterday and possibly a little the day before.

- 17 Q. You also looked at some materials concerning the half life of TCE in groundwater; is 18 19 that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And when did you look at those materials?
  - A. Yesterday.
- 23 Q. When did you first get a copy of
- 24 Dr. Richard Lewis' report?
  - A. I'm not certain of the date, but I think it

Page 79

- Q. What I meant to ask, if I wasn't clear, was, did you see or rely on groundwater sampling data at the time you had prepared your report?
- A. No. I had not seen groundwater sampling data at the time of my report.
- Q. At the time you prepared your report, did you know in what concentrations any of the substances that you were addressing in your report were present in groundwater around the Carlisle Engineered Products facility?
  - A. I don't believe I did.
- Q. Doctor, in preparing for the deposition today, did you look at or review any documents?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. What documents did you review?
- A. I reviewed my report, and I reviewed my Curriculum Vitae to determine that it was -- to see what -- where it was at the time of the report in terms of which publications had come out and which had not. I reviewed very briefly the report of Bruce Bell and the report of Julie Wetherington-Rice, and then I also reviewed some recent information I had considered with regard to vinyl chloride and its toxicity, its carcinogenicity, autoimmune toxicity and the fact that it is a degradation product of some

- would be -- my best guess is that it was about the 2 beginning of this year, maybe January or February. 3 I'm not certain on that.
  - Q. Were you asked to do anything with it?
  - A. I was asked to read it and consider his statements in the report, and then I discussed that with Mr. Altman.
  - Q. Did Mr. Altman ask you to prepare any sort of rebuttal report?

#### A. No, he did not.

MR. ALTMAN: Marcel, I'm going to object to the extent that -- if we're continuing to say that Dr. Thorne is an expert with respect to this as opposed to a consultant, I don't have a problem, but I'm warning -- I'm warning you that you are opening up the door to this testimony coming in.

MR. DUHAMEL: All I wanted to know was whether he had been asked to prepare a rebuttal report.

- Q. (BY MR. DUHAMEL) And I understand the answer is "no"; is that correct?
- 22 A. The answer is no.
  - MR. ALTMAN: Same objection.
- 24 Q. I'm sorry. I can't hear the answer.
  - A. The answer is no.

Page 81

	Page 82		Page 84
1	MR. DUHAMEL: Thank you. I have	1	(The deposition was concluded at
2	nothing further, subject to my right to redirect if	2	12:19 p.m., June 6, 2006.)
3	Mr. Altman asks any questions.	3	*******
4	EXAMINATION	4	MR. DUHAMEL: I am ordering. I would
5	BY MR. ALTMAN:	5	like, in addition to a hard copy, electronic
6	Q. Yes. Dr. Thorne.	6	transmission.
7	A. Yes.	7	MR. ALTMAN: And if you could call my
8	Q. Dr. Thorne, this is Dave Altman.	8	office, I've got somebody over there that knows what
9	As you sit there today in Iowa, do you know	9	we order. We do want a hard copy and electronic, I
10	of any compound in your report that is solely there	10	can tell you that.
11	at the suggestion of my office?	11	
12	A. No.	12	
13	Q. And this last question is one merely of	13	
14	clarification. The Cox, Colvin material, the summary	14	
15	of the area investigation that you mentioned, what	15	
16	did that generally consist of?	16	
17	A. It generally consisted of findings from a	17	
18	soil gas investigation to look at compounds in the	18	
19	soil and water on the premises of the facility, and	19	
20	that showed it demarcated plumes or concentration	20	
21	profiles of the assessed compounds, indicating where	21	
22	there were positive findings or what you might call	22	
23	hot spots. And some of these were within the	23	
24	facility, underneath the within the building	24	
25	itself or on the properties. Some of them were at	25	
	Page 83		Page 85
1	the edge of the property and were, if you will,	1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
2	semicircles, showing partially the extent of the	2	I, Tammy Jones, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the States of Iowa and Illinois, do hereby
3	plume on the facility grounds, but then just going to	3	certify that the facts as stated in the caption
4	the edge of the property itself.	4	hereto are true; that the witness named on the face sheet was by me sworn to testify to the truth and
5	And then there was some language about the	5	nothing but the truth concerning the matters in
6	quality control and the methodology and so forth.		controversy in this cause; that said witness was thereupon examined on oath and the examination
7	And some of the some of the sites some of the	6	reduced to writing under my supervision, consisting of the foregoing pages; and the computer-aided
8	test sites showed levels for compounds below limits	7	transcript is a true record of the testimony given by
9	of detection. Others showed limits in the hundreds	8	said witness and all objections made.
10	of parts hundreds of thousands of parts per	9	I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of
11	billion by volume, as I recall.		the parties to the action in which this deposition is
12	MR. ALTMAN: Thank you. I don't have	10	taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the
13	any further questions at this time.	11	parties hereto or financially interested in the action.
14	MR. DUHAMEL: Neither do I.	12	
15	Dr. Thorne, thank you very much for	13	I further certify that charges for the preparation of the foregoing completed deposition,
16	your cooperation today. I appreciate your bearing	14	original thereof, were charged to the attorneys for the Defendants.
17	with us as we made arrangements for this video	15	In witness whereof I have hereunto set
18	deposition. This sort of thing is not always the	16	my hand this 16th day of June, 2006.
19	most convenient to put together, but it was better	17 18	
20	than Mr. Altman and I having to travel out, so I	19	
21	appreciate your willingness to do it this way. Thank	20	Tammy Jones
22	you, Doctor. I have nothing further.	21	Certified Shorthand Reporter
23	THE WITNESS: I appreciate your	22	lowa No. 1213 Illinois No. 084-003947
24	patience with this method as well. Thank you.	23	2516 East 40th Street Davenport, Iowa 52807-1553
25	MR. ALTMAN: Thank you very much.	24	
۷.	IVIIN. ALTIVIAIN. THANK YOU VETY HIUGH.	25	TJ/(bf)

answer 3:21 4:9,24

5:3,7,11,13 7:1

Α
ability 5:18
<b>able</b> 4:8 8:18 20:24
21:9 69:9 70:19
<b>Absolutely</b> 51:1,6 63:22
<b>absorption</b> 33:15 36:14
abstracts 29:12,15
acceptable 5:4 23:21
accepted 4:25
accounting 71:11
accurate 5:19 8:9
76:25 77:2
action 85:9,11
actively 27:24
actual 35:16 43:16
46:19 52:5 55:9 59:16,17 64:18,19
64:21
addition 10:21 11:20
39:7 59:24 76:20
84:5
additional 8:16,19
32:11 70:24 71:1
additions 8:12
additive 42:23 43:7
additives 37:4
address 14:17 45:14
49:22 50:15,17 53:8 55:8
addressed 26:10
41:18 42:7 68:25
69:1 70:23
addressing 79:8
adequately 36:20
adjacent 12:18 13:11
adverse 12:25 13:14
13:24 28:14 52:13
53:11,16,17 advisor 10:5
advisor 10:5 aerobic 33:5,6 80:5
aerosol 13:20 14:5
affect 19:1
affixed 6:6
afraid 19:25 25:13
age 18:22 47:8
<b>Agency</b> 39:5 47:24
75:9
<b>agents</b> 19:15 28:13 33:12 41:13 48:18
ago 11:13 19:25
59:23
agree 17:2,4 46:17
agreement 1:21
ahead 17:19,21 18:8
28:9,23 31:1 32:10
54:23 56:16 67:4
71:24

air 14:12 22:6,18,25
23:19 26:21 27:6,7
33:8 34:6 53:2
airborne 16:19 27:1
27:13 28:6
<b>al</b> 1:6 32:23
aldicarb 21:14 22:1
32:25,25,25
allergic 24:3,12
allergy 22:12 24:3,4
Allied 24:13
allowed 31:25 37:9
<b>Alloys</b> 11:25 14:25
alternative 68:6,6
Altman 1:11,12 2:6
6:21 7:12,12,14,22
0.217.12,12,14,22
9:6,8,25 10:25 11:8
11:11,12 17:9,15
17:18 18:6 20:5,7
20:14 26:11 28:8
28:20,22 29:10,18
29:25 30:24 31:18
29.25 30.24 31.16
32:9 35:6,18 38:3
38:24 43:22 44:8
44:15,25 45:4
46:21,24 48:17
49:6,25 51:14
52:10 53:22 54:7
54:11,20 56:11,14
57:21 58:25 59:6 59:20 60:12,21
59:20 60:12,21
61:12,17,21 62:5
62:12,19 63:17
64:25 65:18 67:1,4
67:14,25 68:17,21
69:1,15,22,24 71:2
71:6,18,24 73:11
77:20 78:10 81:7,8
81:11,23 82:3,5,8
83:12,20,25 84:7
<b>Altman's</b> 6:22 44:16
67:22 68:8,14
69:13 71:5,13
73:19,24 74:5 77:7
78:4,7
ambiguous 6:22
America 25:15 36:16
36:24
amount 16:18 46:4
50:16
<b>ample</b> 46:14
<b>Amy</b> 76:14 77:16
anaerobic 80:6
analyses 26:22
analysis 22:7
analyzed 23:1 26:25
and/or 57:10 65:23
animal 22:11 46:11
48:5

```
29:13,21 30:5
  57:15 60:4,17
  61:11 62:3 63:2
  65:2 69:3 78:15
  81:21,22,24,25
answered 5:13 30:1
  44:9 48:10 56:15
  59:8 62:1
answering 11:18
  20:5 62:7
answers 20:21 28:18
 61:16
anticipated 47:17
 48:13,21
anymore 24:17
apart 64:16
apologize 23:15
apparently 42:6 68:8
appear 43:12 50:13
  51:16 58:8,11 68:1
 68:14
appearing 64:3
appears 30:14
appendices 75:1
applied 24:6,7
appreciate 21:6
  83:16,21,23
appropriate 3:24
  39:8 52:19
aqueous 33:5,6
area 14:15 75:4 82:15
areas 27:23 28:12
  51:23
arque 61:14
argument 47:14
argumentative 53:22
  53:23 60:22 77:20
arranged 74:9
arrangements 83:17
array 17:25 18:25
  28:11 41:10,13,19
  42:3 46:7,12 49:15
  49:21 67:10
arsenic 30:12 31:8
articulated 5:8 53:16
articulates 53:10
artificial 39:15
ascertainment 34:8
aside 28:3 30:18
asked 5:12 7:17
  17:23,23 25:8
  35:20 38:14,17,19
  38:23,25 39:18,19
  40:22 44:9,13,22
  44:23 45:2 53:19
  56:15 59:8 60:23
  66:10 69:1,14 81:4
```

81:5,18 asking 7:16 18:3 35:11 42:11,13 54:9 56:6,7 58:10 59:15 63:19 71:16 72:13 73:13 asks 82:3 aspect 38:6,12 **aspects** 34:12 46:7 assessed 82:21 assessment 34:6 46:7 59:3,16 associated 39:12 41:10 52:13 53:11 associates 6:21 22:5 22:23 58:20,25 71:6 **assume** 5:12 assure 62:4 astounding 62:9 **ATSDR** 75:8 attachments 60:14 69:20 70:12 attain 16:22 24:4 attempting 71:22 attorney 44:15,25 85:8.10 **attorneys** 1:14,18 85:13 August 8:9,20 authoring 64:12 authorities 12:22 autoimmune 79:24 available 16:21 48:3 avoid 4:3 aware 4:16 15:24 78:22 a.m 1:22 40:1,2 76:2 В

**B** 6:22 7:24 back 6:18 7:14,15 20:8 23:11 32:6 40:3 54:17 61:10 62:17 65:1 76:6 bacteria 22:3 **badger** 61:19 based 14:7 16:20 17:2 59:18,24 67:15 **Basic** 19:9 **basis** 15:19 **Beane** 31:7 bearing 83:16 **Beef** 24:18 **began** 5:21 beginning 9:15 81:2 **begins** 21:11 behalf 20:3

behave 28:2 **believe** 14:20 19:24 20:11 23:1,25 41:25 58:1 59:7 60:8 63:13 66:11 70:1,10 75:2,5,13 79:11 **Bell** 40:21 43:25 74:24 79:21 benchmark 45:23 **Bennett** 58:15 best 4:1,10 21:10 29:13 62:2 64:8,14 78:15 81:1 **better** 83:19 **bf** 85:25 **bill** 71:12 **billion** 46:4,19,23 83:11 bioaerosols 33:21 **bit** 3:18 6:22 **blocks** 25:18 **board** 16:8 boarding 60:22 **book** 29:15 75:13 **bottom** 42:18 break 39:20 40:14 61:13,15 75:21 briefly 4:16 25:23 36:21 79:20 broader 27:22 Bruce 40:21,21 74:24 79:20 building 23:3 82:24 business 15:9 **B(1)** 7:24

# С

**C** 1:10,16 Calardo 9:25 call 82:22 84:7 called 19:8 75:5 cancer 39:5 47:24 48:16 49:5 candidate 68:20 70:5 caption 85:3 **Carbide** 21:11,25 35:23 36:3,4 carcinogen 47:17 48:13,20 carcinogenic 48:21 carcinogenicity 48:1 79:24 carcinogens 39:3 care 34:17 career 8:23 carefully 70:11 Carlisle 1:6 38:20,21 40:13 41:2,5 42:25

43:21 52:8,17 53:7 53:15 55:5,11 57:10,14 59:4,10 60:10,14 63:15 64:22 65:24 77:11 77:23 78:19 79:9 Carlisle's 77:18 Carpenter 58:19 carried 51:16 Casarett 19:9 75:13 case 3:12 5:25 6:20 8:7 10:6,8,12,14,18 11:21,23,24 12:10 12:12,13,17 13:3,6 13:12,17 14:10,18 15:8 16:3,11 17:4 17:12 19:24 20:1 23:5 24:22 26:7,17 27:3 36:1 38:15 44:23 53:1 54:2 64:10,13 71:12 72:5 74:19 75:18 78:25 cases 18:14,16,23 20:12,17 24:7 27:14 36:12 46:9 48:5 50:6 60:16 27:14 00:5
67:16 68:5 <b>cast</b> 25:17
Castrol 25:15 36:16
36:23 37:19 category 47:25
cause 42:8 55:19
85:5
caused 6:14 causing 12:25
cautious 20:20
Cedar 24:25 26:12 cell 48:5
Center 1:16,22
certain 6:11 24:5,14
37:10,10 57:9 62:25 74:25 77:1,5
80:25 81:3
certainly 9:4 18:25 21:10 29:21 77:9
Certificate 2:23 85:1
Certified 1:23 85:2
85:21
<b>certify</b> 85:3,8,12 <b>CF</b> 31:7
chance 3:23
<b>changed</b> 6:16 7:25
35:7 <b>changes</b> 7:18 8:11
<b>chapter</b> 19:11,12
chapters 29:16 characteristics 51:21
charged 85:13
-

charges 85:12 check 6:13 73:17 chemicals 13:24 37:2 42:22,25 43:1 57:9
65:23 66:6 76:22 77:18,21,22 <b>Chicago</b> 24:13
chloride 79:23 80:2,9 chlorinated 7:10,10 cigarettes 16:10,25 Cincinnati 1:13 10:1
11:8 22:9 <b>circumstances</b> 24:20
citations 8:24 City 1:22 25:12 CL 31:8
clarification 82:14 clarify 6:24 12:11 18:1 34:23 44:18 class 7:9
cleaning 38:9 clear 6:25 29:19 40:24 42:11 71:17
72:1 79:1 clearly 24:17 50:11 53:5,13
Cleveland 1:16,17 CNA 24:21 coat 25:4
<b>Colvin</b> 75:6 82:14 <b>com</b> 73:14
combat 34:16 combination 52:6 combined 42:24
come 21:22 34:11 74:8 79:19 comes 19:7 55:13
74:11 <b>coming</b> 12:20 14:13 81:16
<b>Comment</b> 42:19 43:14
commented 39:17 comments 65:19 communicating 30:15
community 23:17 48:4 53:12
community-exposed 52:14 company 1:11 15:13
15:25 22:8 24:21 26:11 27:7 38:4 66:15 67:15
complete 27:9 32:16

completed 85:13

completely 42:11

```
77:1,2
completion 75:23
complex 17:25 18:18
compliance 21:21
  23:8
complied 45:12
components 24:10
  30:11
compound 17:24
  18:4,10,17 39:16
  46:8,11 47:2 48:1,8
  49:18,19 50:8,10
  57:1 69:19 82:10
compounds 17:25
  18:25 24:23 26:9
  33:9 37:5,10 39:2
  39:13 40:17 41:2
  41:10,13,15,20
  42:4,6,20 43:5,7
  46:10 47:3 48:22
  48:23 49:8,14,15
  49:21 50:12,24
  51:15 52:13 53:12
  55:13 56:18,21
  58:24 59:9 60:25
  61:3,4 63:24 64:2
  65:3,5 66:3,11,12
  66:13,16,18,20,22
  67:7,8,10,14 68:5,6
  68:7,9,12,20,20
  69:4,21,24 70:14
  71:4 73:15 77:6,10
 77:12 80:1 82:18
 82:21 83:8
computer-aided 85:6
concentrate 5:18
concentration 82:20
concentrations 55:1
  79:7
concern 12:24 26:2
  26:19 41:14,19
  78:22,25
concerned 14:15
  24:2 27:5 42:5
concerning 20:20
  70:25 80:18 85:4
concerns 12:19 26:5
  42:12
concluded 84:1
conclusion 41:24
concurrently 47:9
condensing 36:7
condition 5:17 51:11
conditioning 27:7
conditions 41:5
conducted 32:19
conference 1:11,15
  1:22 3:17
confident 61:23
```

confine 4:18 confinement 26:23 26:25 connection 71:20 consequences 13:18 consider 23:17 30:9 34:18 35:24 38:19 42:2 46:7,8,9 48:2 51:10 65:7,11 70:5 81:5 considerably 16:23 consideration 47:11 50:5 80:10 considered 32:12 34:14 35:15 55:25 56:2 58:4 67:11 68:19,22 69:22 70:13 78:3 79:23 considering 11:3 21:14 36:5 42:7 49:16 consist 82:16 consisted 82:17 consisting 85:6 constantly 8:15 constitute 41:7,7 consultant 20:18 22:9 81:14 Consultants 58:16 consultation 24:19 26:7 36:25 38:11 38:12 consultations 11:5 consulting 9:17 10:20 22:24 25:21 26:5 28:5 34:21 consumer 24:5 contact 10:25 21:22 24:3,4,12 27:14 28:7 35:4 41:12 contacted 8:6 contain 59:2 contained 40:8 64:3 66:13 contaminant 35:12 45:21 contaminants 12:25 25:9 26:4 36:5,7,9 36:11,17,20 37:10 contaminated 22:20 30:22 32:13 35:5 35:12 43:20 contamination 45:24 content 6:19 31:8 context 29:7 continue 23:24 32:9 61:13,18 continuing 9:15 17:18,20 18:6,7

81:12 **continuum** 64:11,12 contract 15:15 contrary 62:16 contrast 62:6 contrasted 63:21 **control** 18:13 83:6 controversy 85:5 convenient 83:19 conversations 73:11 cooler 36:7 cooperation 83:16 **copy** 8:5 76:11 80:23 84:5.9 Cornett 11:24 14:25 Corporation 21:12 21:25 24:13 correct 3:14,15 4:14 10:21 12:2,8,9 13:22 27:15 31:6 31:12,13,21 32:20 33:17 35:13 49:23 53:9 54:6 58:6,10 63:8,11,16 72:8,22 73:21 80:19 81:21 **correctly** 13:2,21 49:22 53:24 69:8 correspondence 65:10 68:4 69:20 69:23 73:18 74:6,7 74:10 correspondences 66:19 77:9 cosmetics 24:6 counsel 3:23 6:10 20:16 85:9,10 couple 3:19 76:10 course 4:24 5:2 18:9 64:10 court 1:1 2:23 4:17 7:15 31:25 32:4,5 54:16 61:9 62:17 76:8 85:1 cover 30:9 74:3 **covers** 29:14 Cox 75:6 82:14 co-exposures 19:15 create 70:3 created 19:12 creating 21:18 criteria 39:1,6 culture 48:5 current 42:12 currently 27:24 59:19 59:22 64:19 74:13 **Curriculum** 8:5,12,14 9:5,12 27:12 79:17 **cutaneous** 31:8,10 **CV** 12:1,6,7 75:2

D
<b>D</b> 1:11,12 11:8,11
26:10 43:14 49:22
65:20
da 74:4,4,4
dairy 25:7
data 16:21 46:11,12
48:2 78:19 79:2,5
date 6:11,13 8:12
9:20 80:25
<b>Dave</b> 7:12 82:8
Davenport 85:23
David 1:11 10 11:0
<b>David</b> 1:11,12 11:8
11:11 26:11 71:23
<b>Davis</b> 25:5
day 16:25 26:4 80:15
85:15
deal 27:13 38:9
<b>deals</b> 37:4
dealt 28:6
debate 15:23
decided 68:8
defendant 10:17
16:11 20:3
defendants 1:7,18
3:12 20:10 85:14
define 38:25
degradation 21:14
22:2 28:1 32:24
33:3,9 39:8 50:7,9
51:4 79:25
<b>delay</b> 3:19
delivered 15:17
delivery 15:19 16:1
<b>dem</b> 15:15
demarcated 82:20
demo 15:15
demonstrate 60:15
demonstrates 57:11
60:16
<b>Dennis</b> 31:7
Department 23:4
26:20
depend 18:16
depending 22:2
depends 17:6
deposed 13:2 15:6
19:24 20:11
deposition 1:20 3:16
5:21 8:2 11:22 15:4
61:18 75:6 79:12
83:18 84:1 85:9,13
<b>derive</b> 47:13
derived 69:5
deriving 48:3 68:12
dormal 26:42 42:2
dermal 36:13 43:3
dermally 24:7
dermatitis 24:3,12
Des 27:2
DG3 21.2

describe 10:3,23
11:15 <b>described</b> 11:18 27:3 50:8 56:19 72:7
80:1 describes 7:8 description 17:3
27:11 designated 15:18
designation 7:10 48:23
designed 21:19 detection 83:9
determination 47:22 47:25 48:7
<b>determine</b> 23:8 24:9 46:13 49:13 54:4 70:14,19,21,23
79:17 80:4 <b>determined</b> 18:21,22
22:21 46:2,18 determines 48:12
develop 24:11 developed 22:12
23:20 development 48:16
49:5 <b>diagram</b> 19:12
difference 18:15 33:8 differences 7:5 51:20
different 51:23 68:12 68:12 difficulty 30:15
direct 19:17 directly 53:9
discussed 40:14 42:22 81:6
discusses 76:22
discussing 11:13 discussion 57:17 74:8
discussions 6:19 7:2 71:5
<b>Disease</b> 75:9 <b>dispersion</b> 23:7,12 23:14,18
disposal 57:7 dispose 70:2
disposing 38:10 dispute 15:11 27:6
disrespectful 62:6 distinct 64:18 69:12
<b>DISTRICT</b> 1:1,1 <b>division</b> 1:2 22:10
26:21 <b>DNAPL</b> 7:8
DNAPLs 7:9 Doctor 3:16 4:13

5:16,21 7:23 9:5,11

```
20:24 27:10 30:16
 31:22 38:13 40:5
  42:10 44:12 53:20
  54:23 57:18 58:14
 63:4 65:15 67:21
  68:13 76:8 79:12
  83:22
documentation
  69:11 78:6
documents 61:8
  66:15 67:13,15
  68:10 69:12 70:16
  70:22 71:9 72:3,25
  73:3,23 74:18,21
  79:13.15
doing 23:16 27:24
  62:2
door 20:5 81:16
dose 17:8 47:13,20
  49:18 50:16 51:11
  52:1
Doull 75:13
Doull's 19:9
Downers 26:6
Dr 3:9 6:21 7:15 28:9
  40:21,21 43:25,25
  61:24 62:13 74:24
  75:4,7 80:24 81:13
  82:6,8 83:15
draw 46:12
drawn 67:12 75:10
  77:12
drinking 45:17 46:3
due 28:17
Duhamel 1:16 2:5 3:8
  3:11 7:13,23 9:11
  18:2 20:24 29:22
  32:2,4 35:21 39:24
  40:3,5 44:10,12
  50:2 54:9,16 55:7
  57:18 61:9,15,20
 61:23 62:10,15
 63:3 67:3,21 71:22
  71:25 75:20,25
  76:6,8 81:17,20
 82:1 83:14 84:4
duly 3:5
duplication 67:16
Duquesne 22:16 36:6
E 1:10,10 3:1
earlier 35:20 39:14
  60:13 77:4
early 38:16
East 1:12,17 85:22
```

EASTERN 1:2

**edge** 83:1,4

edition 19:10

effect 13:14,25 14:2 49:13 50:3 effects 12:25 14:4 16:5 24:10 28:14 35:15 38:1 42:3,21 42:24 43:8 49:14 52:12,14 53:11,16 57:8 Eighth 1:12 either 35:4 56:22 electronic 84:5,9 element 38:11 55:20 emanate 41:7 emanating 38:20 Emilcott 22:23 emission 16:9 emissions 14:13 **employed** 85:9,10 employee 24:16 85:10 EN 32:23 enclosed 76:20 encompasses 28:15 endangerment 41:8 41:22 43:11 45:6 endeavor 70:14 endeavored 69:7 endotoxins 33:21 Enforcement 26:21 engage 62:10 engagement 36:23 38:2 44:16,19 71:10,15 72:1,2 73:1 engagements 35:2 engine 25:18 Engineered 1:6 40:13 41:6 43:21 52:8 55:11 59:5 60:11 63:15 64:22 78:19 79:9 ensure 3:21 entered 15:14 entering 16:23 entire 49:21 50:22 **entities** 21:5,6 entitled 33:20 34:5 entries 9:22 11:7 **entry** 10:4 11:8,12,12 11:16 14:24 25:23 26:10 environment 28:2,13 41:9 50:12 65:6 environmental 12:23 12:23 22:5 24:25 27:19,20 50:7 58:16,19 **EPA** 26:21 45:16 46:2,18,22 77:15

epidemiologic 48:4 epidemiology 27:20 **Esquire** 1:12,16 establish 53:5 established 45:17 46:16 establishing 39:1 establishment 46:6 et 1:6 32:23 evaluate 41:1 **evaluated** 26:8 48:19 58:23 evaluating 47:1 evaluation 24:16 34:9 event 18:10 evidence 48:2 52:24 56:21 evident 55:3 exactly 51:24 examination 2:4 3:7 82:4 85:5 examine 14:6 **examined** 3:5 85:5 examining 14:8 examples 19:16 34:12 72:12 exceedingly 31:20 excessive 45:24 exchange 21:19 **exchanged** 73:18,23 excluding 32:20 **exclusion** 70:18,20 **excuse** 20:14 exert 49:14 Exhibit 2:11 5:22,24 6:2,5 8:3,5,13 12:5 12:6,8 14:24 19:19 21:1 30:8 34:20 38:13 67:9 76:4,9 **exhibits** 2:10 3:2 7:17 exist 70:22 73:16 existence 59:19 expect 57:5 expected 64:4 expensive 37:9 **experience** 34:16 52:8 53:15 experienced 55:10 experiencing 52:7 **expert** 3:13 5:24 8:7 9:17 10:6,8,21 11:4 11:21 13:19 19:20 20:10 23:16,22 34:22 38:14 40:6 40:10,15 44:13,22 45:2 55:8 57:19 58:5 60:24 64:18

focus 28:4 30:16

focused 27:13.17

food 21:23 33:14

Foods 21:15 36:1

**foregoing** 85:6,13

63:17 69:17

16:19

form 29:19 30:2,3,24

30:25 31:18 35:6

formaldehyde 15:20

forming 55:25 58:4

68:19,22 70:13

formulary 37:13

formulating 63:6

15:22 16:5,5,9,9,18

38:24 46:24 54:21

follows 3:6

follow-up 22:1

64:20 71:7 72:4 74:15 75:17 81:13 **expertise** 11:1 27:21 **experts** 13:16 40:18 43:24 54:1 61:1 explain 71:19 explicitly 57:5 exposed 13:24 15:20 17:7 18:14.24 25:20 30:21 32:18 33:11 39:16 40:11 43:20 49:8,11 54:6 56:4 60:10 63:13 **exposure** 13:14,25 14:2,6 15:22 16:5 17:24,25 18:4,15 18:17,25 19:13 28:25 29:7 35:3.8 35:11,15,16 36:13 37:24 38:1 42:21 43:4,6,17 44:6 46:3 46:10,19 48:15 49:3 50:11,16,23 51:12,19,24 52:5 54:2,3 55:4,9,19 57:2 59:3,14,17 60:16 64:21 65:9 exposures 13:18 16:6,9,22 18:9,11 27:14,14 28:6,7 32:12,12 33:21 34:16 35:24 39:12 42:24 43:1 47:9 51:21 52:16,20,21 53:5,14 60:2 express 16:13 38:17 40:10,25 41:4 42:17 43:15,18 49:2 50:2,15 51:11 52:4,19 56:8 60:20 63:6 64:20 65:16 **expressed** 16:15,20 39:14 41:19 42:14 56:3 60:9 expressing 13:23 14:1 19:3 39:10 48:14 extensive 67:10 extent 54:5 55:20 60:4 63:12 78:2.14 80:7 81:12 83:2 F face 46:10 85:3 **facilities** 23:6,18

face 46:10 85:3 facilities 23:6,18 26:24,25 facility 12:17,20 13:9 13:11 14:13,14 22:18 26:1,2 38:20

38:21 40:13.20 41:6,11,16,16 43:1 43:21 51:23 52:9 52:17 53:7,15 55:5 55:14,16,17,18 57:10,14 59:5,10 59:19 60:1,3,3,8,11 61:7 63:8,16 64:23 65:4.8.24 77:18.23 78:20 79:10 82:19 82:24 83:3 fact 12:21 13:13 40:11 46:20 56:24 60:6,18 65:21 79:25 factors 19:14 47:10 49:12 facts 57:6 85:3 fair 4:12 5:9,10,14 28:5 72:24 fall 38:16 familiar 44:20 far 71:21 76:25 farmer 25:8 fate 41:2 42:6 57:8 61:2 **FDA** 21:21 **feature** 28:12 February 81:2 feed 25:10 feel 49:16 feels 3:23 felt 15:19 financially 85:11 **find** 74:3 findings 82:17,22 fine 4:4 32:3 35:1 39:23 finish 4:2 67:2,4 finished 3:22 25:17 firm 6:22 15:9 22:24 25:6,7 44:16,25 45:4 66:8,17,23 67:22 68:8,14 69:13 71:13 73:19 73:24 74:5 75:5 77:7 78:4,7 first 3:5 9:24 11:11 15:3 19:7 34:15,15 35:8 38:14 44:22 45:13 50:10 59:21 68:3 76:10 80:23 **fits** 49:10 five 8:14 19:21 46:4 46:23 75:25

five-minute 75:21

37:8,22

fluids 25:16,20 26:3

30:11 36:17 37:1,6

formulation 25:21 37:1,2,3 forth 25:10 48:9 69:6 83:6 **forward** 62:14 **found** 61:1 four 34:6 **fourth** 76:18 Freeman 31:7 front 62:8 fruitful 52:1 fuel 33:22 full 8:24 41:13 fully 4:9 52:18,22 furniture 26:17 further 8:17 37:12 43:1 82:2 83:13,22 85:8,10,12 furthermore 49:10 G Gamble 22:8,10 gas 82:18 gastrointestinal 33:24 34:8 gather 4:13 gender 18:23 47:8 general 27:19 generally 16:21 17:10,13 47:23 70:3 82:16,17 generated 23:19 72:20 generating 22:18 generation 73:7 genetically 18:19,21 genetics 47:8 getting 66:11 give 3:22 5:18 72:12 given 20:12 41:19

49:15 55:17 65:2

85:7 glance 34:10 **go** 17:19,21 18:8 21:3 28:9,23 31:1 32:10 37:12 40:3 54:23 56:16 62:17 65:1 67:4 69:9 71:24 76:1,6 aoina 3:19 4:1 20:20 23:11 29:11 39:20 39:21 40:7 42:9 49:14 54:20 61:13 61:21,24 62:10,12 62:16 75:22 81:11 83:3 good 3:9,10 39:24 40:9 graduate 21:12 27:18 **grant** 8:16 great 27:12 greater 43:3 46:4 green 24:25 25:10 grounds 83:3 groundwater 14:19 28:25 29:2,9 30:22 32:18 33:13 43:20 53:2 78:18,23 79:2 79:4,9 80:4,6,18 group 22:16 36:6 39:3 44:7 47:16,25 48:8 grouping 48:19 **Grove** 26:6 guess 32:1 51:22 56:10 65:12 77:14 78:15 81:1 quidance 21:23 23:23 quided 22:21 guidelines 21:22 **Gulf** 34:14,15,15 Н

Habush 25:5.5 half 80:3.5.18 hand 4:6 85:15 **handed** 76:9 handling 33:11 57:7 happy 8:25 63:2 hard 84:5,9 harm 42:8 50:18 51:13 hay 25:7,10 **haz** 52:12 hazardous 39:2 52:12 **hazards** 39:12 head 8:24 health 12:25 13:14

13:18 16:5 17:6 18:24 25:20 26:19 27:19 28:14 34:17 41:8 42:8 47:12 48:3,4 50:18 51:13 52:14 53:11,13,16 53:17 health-based 46:6 hear 81:24 hearing 27:10 heating 27:6 held 40:1 57:17 76:2 helpful 4:18 helping 23:16 hereto 85:3,11 hereunto 85:15 high 62:19 **history** 19:19 **Hodgins** 1:3 66:7,17 hold 64:19 **Holding** 28:3 30:18 home 15:16,19,20 16:1,16,20,23 homeowners 27:8 **Homes** 15:1,10,13 17:4,12 27:3 honest 62:7,13 Hoogovens 25:25 37:21 host 38:7 68:11 hot 82:23 hour 16:23 housing 15:14 16:6 huh-uh 4:20 **human** 17:5 41:8 46:12 47:17 48:13 48:16,20 49:5 50:18 51:13 **hundreds** 83:9,10 hydro-geology 40:19 61:3 hypothetical 52:2 **H-A-D** 57:23

I IARC 47:23 identical 18:19 identification 3:3 76:5 identified 13:10 37:16 56:5,24 66:8 68:10 identify 8:4,19 19:5 21:4 31:3 33:17 35:1,2 38:25 47:3 52:1 69:10 Ijmuiden 25:25 37:21 Illinois 24:14 26:6

85:2,22

illness 33:24 34:8
imminent 41:21
43:11 45:6
immunotoxicology
27:17
impact 17:5
impair 5:17
implicit 56:17 66:2
inaccurate 69:16
include 30:11,12,12
30:13 43:14 67:11
included 37:10 70:15
71:4
includes 37:2
inclusion 66:3,11
incomprehensible
<u>-</u>
62:21
Incorporated 11:25
21:15 22:17,23
24:1,18
independent 59:2
independently 59:16
INDEX 2:1
indicate 4:7 71:4
indicates 8:8 9:25
19:13,14
indicating 45:1 69:24
82:21
individual 13:13 18:9
18:14,19,23 39:13
44:7 49:11,15,17
49:19 76:22
individually 42:21
individuals 30:21
44:7 47:7 52:15,15
44.7 47.7 52.15,15
52:20 60:2
indoor 22:6,18,25
27:6 34:6
induce 24:10 28:14
industrial 14:14
25:15 36:16,23
37:6
industry 16:7 33:11
infectious 33:12
influence 19:15
information 13:8
16:21 20:16 24:22
20.24 20.7 40 44
38:21 39:7,10,11
40:19 46:13 48:7
50:25 52:25 55:1
55:24 56:2 58:3
59:24 60:24 61:6
63:20 64:6,17
67:17 68:19,22
70:8,13,25 77:12
77:19,21 78:14
79:22 80:3
Ingersoll 26:12
ingested 34:18
ucalcu ()4. (()
900.00.0

l
ingestion 33:12,14
35:4 36:14 37:24
43:3 inhalation 43:2
inherent 57:3
initial 6:8,12,18 7:9
33:16 37:3
initially 6:23
injury 24:15,19,20,22
26:7
installed 27:8
instance 34:13 39:2
75:1,12
instruct 20:15
insult 62:13 Insurance 24:21 26:6
intend 4:8
intended 5:13
intended 3.13
intentionally 32:21
interest 11:20 66:17
67:14 68:5,9,21
interested 21:17 24:8
32:17 67:23 85:11
interim 71:11 74:15
intermediate 50:10
International 39:5 47:24
47:24 Internet 75:11
interrupt 4:11 23:9
36:21
interrupted 4:7 7:16
37:18
intimidate 61:22
intimidated 61:24
investigate 73:13
investigating 73:15
investigation 75:5
82:15,18
investigations 23:2 67:12
involved 12:17 13:16
23:5 35:3
involving 36:23
lowa 1:21,22,22,23
23:4 24:18 27:2
31:9 82:9 85:2,21
85:23
issue 14:9 23:19
26:16 43:6 53:8
78:24
<b>issues</b> 12:13,16 23:5 23:23 24:2 28:1
30:9
item 7:24 43:14 49:22
58:3 66:8 68:2,15
items 50:3 60:13,18
63:5 70:21 71:7
74:16

i.e 43:2	6
10 40.2	6
	let'
<b>J</b> 3:1	4
January 81:2	5
Jersey 22:24	7
Johnson 24:1,2 27:5	7
34:5 36:10	lev
	4
Jones 1:23 85:2,20	4
judge 45:24	
Julie 40:21 75:3	lev
79:21	Lev
June 1:22 84:2 85:15	life
Justice 26:20	life
	life
K	Lig
<b>keep</b> 70:6	Lig
<b>Kikkoman</b> 21:15 36:1	like
kind 12:10,11 74:10	5
knew 63:24,24,25	lim
64:9,14 78:24	Lin
<b>know</b> 4:6,23,24 10:19	list
20:2,16 35:9 44:10	2
45:22 46:2 59:23	3
61:4 63:14,21 69:3	3 4
69:18 70:3,4 73:12	
73:13,17 76:14,25	5
77:25 78:7,16 79:7	5
80:5 81:17 82:9	6
knowledge 63:20	6
64:6 65:7 78:14	6
known 7:8 48:20	7
54:25	7
knows 84:8	list
	4
L	6
laboratory 18:12,13	6
26:22 32:19,23	7
48:6	list
landscaping 25:2	list
language 6:24 7:23	6
7:24 83:5	list
large 26:1,23	list
law 23:8 25:6,11 66:8	lite
66:23 67:22 68:14	littl
73:19,24 74:5 78:4	live
73.19,24 74.5 76.4 78:7	livi
LE 31:7	5
lead 37:23 43:3 60:2	LK
learning 64:13	loc
	loc
led 24:20 72:16,18,20	
<b>Lee</b> 34:4	lon

legal 10:5,25 **Leonard** 76:14,16

letter 44:17,19 45:1

74:3 76:11,19

letters 60:13 63:24

77:16

77:16

5:10 66:14,19 9:5,19 70:11 **'s** 6:14 18:3 38:13 4:21 49:20 50:14 3:20 60:5 63:3 1:17,25 75:25 6:6 **el** 27:19 45:21 6:13 47:3 48:14 8:24 49:3 els 64:3 83:8 wis 75:4,7 80:24 80:4,18 s 80:5 estyle 47:10 **ht** 22:16 36:6 htfoot 32:23 elihood 43:16 55:9 9:3,17 64:21 its 46:23 83:8,9 dquist 1:21 9:16,18,20,22 1:3 27:9,11 32:15 4:10 35:13,20 37:15,21 42:2 8:18,24 55:13 8:8,11,22,24 9:11 61:5 66:3,4,6 6:12,15,25 67:7,8 7:10,18,19,23 8:1,4,11,13,20,21 0:12 74:20 77:7,9 8:8 ted 29:12 31:5 34:2 8:22,22 58:5,7 3:24 66:21 68:18 8:20,22 71:8 74:1 4:16 ening 23:22 ing 55:24 64:16 8:13,18 tings 39:3 66:22 **s** 55:14 rature 73:6 80:11 l**e** 30:15 80:15 e 53:6 55:6 60:2 ing 13:1 51:23 2:17 53:14 31:7 ate 5:22 8:3 ated 40:12 longer 72:23 73:1 look 20:25 29:11 33:23 34:19 38:6 42:2 48:6 49:17 69:4,25 70:11,21 76:18 77:8 79:13 80:21 82:18 looked 27:25 41:17

78:4 80:2,3,17 looking 22:12 27:11 28:10 30:7 35:13 36:22 38:7 45:13 65:9 67:13 lot 15:16 16:17 lower 16:18 low-sodium 21:18 Lvnch 31:7

## M **machining** 26:1,1,2 37:1.8.22 machinists 25:20 **Madison** 22:23 25:6 Mahar 33:20 majority 28:6,15 47:5 man 62:14 manner 63:2 **manners** 14:11 manufacture 16:7 manufactured 15:14 15:16 16:6,16 manufacturer 14:10 21:16 25:16 manufacturing 12:17 12:20 36:2 man's 62:7 Marcel 1:16 3:11 7:12 9:9 20:14 29:18 35:7 61:12 62:5,19 67:1 71:18 81:11 Margaret 15:1 27:4 marked 2:11 3:2 5:22 6:3,5 8:2 76:4,9 material 7:5 38:5 82:14 materials 12:20 13:9 13:10,20 14:5,12 21:20,22 25:3 28:1 30:18 32:18 38:8 54:25 55:5,5,14,14 59:25 60:15 76:20 80:17.21 matter 14:22 15:6 46:22 matters 10:6,25 11:2 11:19 20:19 85:4 maximum 45:21 McElwain 25:11 **MCL** 45:17,20,22,23 46:15 80:2 mean 12:11 34:23 44:18 45:7 46:18 50:24 52:22 57:6

57:22 62:25 68:3

71:19,20 72:1,2,2

80:11

meaning 72:9

meaningful 49:17 means 33:7 47:5 meant 10:23 61:17 79:1 **measure** 47:12 mechanisms 32:24 mechanistic 48:6 media 33:5,6 medical 5:17 13:16 13:17 14:7 medication 5:16 medicine 24:7 medium 45:25 melanoma 31:9 memory 5:18 mention 7:9 mentioned 51:5 74:16 82:15 merely 46:20 82:13 metal 25:17 37:22 metals 30:13 metalworking 25:16 25:20 30:11 36:17 37:5 method 24:11 83:24 methodology 83:6 mice 24:8 microbial 25:9 26:4 microorganisms 22:20 27:1 micro-toxins 25:9 Middlefield 41:6 mind 19:7 34:11 74:11 minute 17:15 71:18 minutes 39:21,22 76:1 mischaracterization 54:22 63:12 mischaracterizes 54:8.12 misformulated 77:24 misleading 69:16 misunderstanding 42:10 misunderstood 78:1 mixture 18:1 49:9,22 50:3 51:10,12 mixtures 18:18 39:12 39:17 42:19 43:15 50:6 51:25 **model** 15:16 modeling 23:7,7,12 23:14,18 models 23:17,20 moderately 42:4 Moines 27:2 moment 3:21 11:13 20:6

momentary 39:20 months 6:12 morning 3:9,10 mouse 18:13 24:11 move 29:2 30:10,19 65:6 movement 13:20 41:16 54:25 61:3 moving 71:8 multiple 41:12,13 43:2,5,7 51:18,19 65:2 77:13 municipal 36:19 37:11 M.S 1:20 2:3 3:4

# N

N 1:10 3:1 name 3:11 10:12,14 named 85:3 names 68:6 76:21 77:2,3,4 78:5 narrow 30:16 31:20 31:21 National 39:4 Natural 23:4 nature 15:11 16:2 36:25 39:2 40:16 41:20 42:22 52:5 52:16 57:2 65:5 73:14 nearing 75:22 **nearly** 19:25 necessarily 33:23 46:18 needed 6:16 neighboring 55:6 neither 83:14 85:8 Netherlands 25:25 new 8:16 22:24 newly-manufactured 16:19 Nims 15:1,15 17:12 27:4 Ninth 1:17 non-contaminated 64:5 normal 64:4 North 25:15 36:16,23 **NORTHERN 1:1** Nos 2:12 74:1 **note** 76:20 **noted** 6:20 notes 70:4 72:15,19 72:22 73:4,6,10,16 75:7,14,22 notice 1:21 6:15 8:8 58:3

noticed 3:18 7:1

notification 12:22 notion 41:11 NTP 47:15,21,23 48:12,14,18 Nuclear 22:16 36:6 number 25:19

0

object 3:23 28:8 32:9

69:17 81:12

objected 30:3

oath 85:5

obiectina 54:11 objection 17:9,18,20 18:7 28:20,22 29:10,19,23 30:24 30:25 31:18 35:6 38:3.24 43:22 44:8 46:21,24 48:17 49:6,25 50:5 51:14 52:10 53:22,23 54:7,21 56:11,14 57:21 59:6,20 60:12,21 61:12 63:17 64:25 65:18 67:1,25 68:17 69:15 71:2 77:20 78:10 81:23 objections 85:7 obviously 56:18 occasionally 11:18 occupational 19:11 48:3 53:12 occupationally-ex... 52:15 occur 42:24 52:14 occurring 43:2 53:6 occurs 42:21 offer 8:23 14:7 44:3 offered 6:24 7:2 11:22 13:7,17 24:22 44:1 offering 13:18 14:3 23:23 61:2 office 7:16 82:11 84:8 **Offices** 25:12 off-gassed 16:17 off-gassing 51:17 oftentimes 36:18 37:9 38:5 **Oh** 26:16 Ohio 1:1,13,17 10:1 11:9 22:9 41:6 okay 3:24 4:9 5:4 6:9 9:14 11:7 12:7,10 17:14 18:5 20:9 23:25 29:5 30:16 32:22 39:22 41:4

45:10 51:2,7 53:21 58:14 63:23 67:6 72:14,24 74:14 75:20,24 78:2,17 ones 34:11 37:16 56:25 72:7 ongoing 8:17 opening 81:16 **opined** 77:6 opinion 3:13 13:5,12 13:19,23 14:1,3,7 14:17 16:15,20 17:3 25:8 38:18 40:10,15,23,25 41:4 42:17 43:16 43:19 44:3 45:2,5 49:3 50:3,16 52:4 52:19 55:25 56:4.9 58:4 60:20 64:19 64:20 65:15 68:19 68:23,25 70:13 73:14 opinions 13:17 16:14 42:13,14 44:1 57:6 61:2 opposed 56:25 72:9 81:14 orally 44:23,24 45:3 order 23:8 53:5 84:9 ordering 84:4 original 7:6 85:13 originally 6:6 outcome 52:3 outcomes 53:18 outdoor 34:6 outgrowth 71:5 overall 38:11 47:12 owners 12:19

O'Shaughnessy 34:5

oxygenation 33:8

**P** 1:10.10

packs 16:24

page 2:4 6:1,3,4,6,7 6:10 7:3,5,6,8,9 9:12,15,16,24 12:4 12:5 14:24 19:19 32:14,23 33:3,19 34:2,3,14,19 35:14 42:18 45:10,11 49:23 55:24 56:1 58:7,11 65:22 66:9 70:21 71:8,8 pages 21:1 58:9,13 74:17 85:6 panel 23:16,22 paper 33:19 34:1,4 papers 29:11,15 30:9

34:13 Paragraph 7:24 parent 50:9 part 7:17 17:2,6 23:2 23:22 33:16 34:9 35:8 41:3 45:7 53:1 64:6 75:4 partially 18:21 83:2 particle 16:8 particular 16:16 24:19 27:23 33:24 38:2 41:24 46:14 47:2 50:8 52:13 64:13 65:10 66:3 66:18 particularly 49:17 particulars 20:1 particulates 33:21 parties 1:21 85:9,11 parts 46:4,19,23 83:10,10 pathway 14:6 19:13 pathways 40:19 41:18 54:3,3 55:3 patience 83:24 patient 14:8 patients 14:7 pause 3:20 4:1 9:13 10:10,13 18:7 20:4 20:6 26:14 29:17 30:6 78:21 pausing 10:11 26:15 pay 15:18 payment 15:25 **PEASE** 1:15 Pennsylvania 22:17 people 16:10 17:6 18:11,18 32:18 33:11 51:23 52:7 53:14 54:5 56:4,6 60:14 62:7 63:13 65:8 percutaneous 33:15 **perfectly** 4:25 5:4 performance 72:4 performed 22:7 59:16 64:1 71:20 74:19 performing 26:22 perfumes 24:9 period 17:8 47:21 permitting 23:6,21 person 17:7 40:11 43:19 personal 47:9 pesticide 27:25 pesticides 30:13 Peter 1:20 2:3 3:4 9:6 17:15,16 18:6

20:15 56:12 67:5 76:21 **phrase** 43:11 **PH.D** 1:20 2:3 3:4 picked 56:20,21 57:11 **piece** 64:5 **pieces** 19:18 PIERRE 1:3 Pittsburgh 22:13 **place** 43:5 **placed** 15:22 **places** 65:24 plaintiff 1:4,14 10:16 74:19 **Plaintiffs** 3:13 6:10 58:5 **plant** 40:12 69:12 plants 33:22 34:7 please 4:6 5:7 13:21 18:1 21:8 23:24 30:17 40:4 54:17 63:11 74:3,23 76:19 **plume** 23:7,12,14,18 83:3 plumes 82:20 plywood 16:8 point 4:5 8:23 10:15 32:22 42:18 62:25 64:12 65:19,21 66:13 72:9 pointed 6:24 43:24 60:13 65:11 points 44:1 **Poisons** 19:10 **policy** 46:22 pollution 23:19 population 47:14 49:5 populations 48:16 portion 7:21 16:1 32:8 54:19 62:23 pose 11:19 41:21 **posing** 62:3 positive 82:22 **possess** 69:23 possession 71:9 74:13 75:17 possibility 65:7,12 **possibly** 74:3,7 80:15 post 75:10 post-doctoral 22:13 potassium 21:19 potential 14:1 16:4 17:5 35:3,7,11,15 35:24 37:23 38:1 41:12,21 42:7 46:9

54:2 55:19 57:1.12 57:13 59:12 60:1 65:5 potentially 33:12 36:15 42:4 51:19 77:3 poultry 26:23,24 power 22:18 practices 57:7 precursor 66:20 prejudice 20:19 **premises** 82:19 preparation 6:4 85:13 prepare 38:14 81:8 81:18 prepared 5:25 8:8,13 57:19.25 58:14.18 78:17 79:3,6 preparing 79:12 presence 41:15 present 42:5 79:8 preservatives 24:9 presumably 72:19 previous 36:4 previously 77:8,13 primarily 14:15 principal 14:11 prior 8:2 73:25 probably 73:9 74:2 problem 7:1 22:7,19 22:21,22 27:6 29:23 30:1 38:4,6 81:14 problems 18:24 22:25 proceedings 40:2 76:3 process 8:17 21:19 21:21 36:18 38:5 46:8 47:1 48:25 70:20 processed 36:2 processes 14:14 37:6 processing 25:17 26:3 37:23 Processors 24:18 **Proctor** 22:8.10 produced 75:17 product 79:25 **products** 1:6 24:5,13 25:18,22 27:1 36:11,18 39:8 40:13 41:6 43:21 50:7 51:4 52:9 55:10,12 59:5,17 60:7,10,11 63:14

63:16 64:23 65:3

78:19 79:10 professional 9:17 28:4 34:21 professor 21:13,24 proffered 3:13 profiles 42:20 75:8 82:21 Program 39:4 proper 12:21 properly 15:24 properties 13:8,11 13:19 14:4 24:23 25:3,9 26:8 30:20 38:8.22 40:16 57:9 60:25 65:23 82:25 property 12:18,19,21 15:17 29:1 78:23 78:24 83:1.4 **propose** 75:21 proposition 17:1 protect 47:4 protecting 47:5 protection 46:14 47:12 **provide** 8:25 9:2,8,9 11:4 38:21 40:18 40:22 44:13 45:2.5 46:13 47:11,11 60:24 76:21 78:8,9 provided 10:20 19:19 20:17 39:3,7,11 40:15 57:8 58:25 70:15 77:7,12,14 77:17 78:4 provides 45:23 providing 10:4 39:10 73:14 **PS** 31:7 public 42:8 46:1,14 47:4,5,6,7,12 publication 28:10 31:5,14 32:22 publications 8:17,19 8:22 19:6,16 27:12 30:7 31:3 34:11 79:19 published 16:21 19:2 19:11 27:16,25 28:24 29:1,6,6,15 30:8,19 34:1,4 publishes 48:18 publishing 29:8 pulmonary 27:17 purchase 15:15 **purpose** 45:22 purposes 5:25 23:21 pursuant 1:21 put 6:14 7:2 15:17 28:14,19 37:2,11

42:1 43:10 44:21 48:1 62:8 83:19 puts 59:11 **P.C** 26:12 27:5 **p.m** 76:3 84:2 Q qualified 65:13 quality 22:5,6,19,25 34:6 83:6 quarter 75:20 question 3:20 4:9,23 5:6,9,11 7:18,19 17:7,22 18:3 24:24 26:9 28:18 29:3,13 29:20,24 30:1,2,16 31:19,22,24 32:1,6 33:16 35:9.19 42:16 44:11 48:11 50:20,21 54:12,14 57:15,16 60:17 61:10,16 62:18,24 63:1 65:13 72:24 82:13 questions 9:23 11:19 25:2 38:7 40:7 61:20,25 62:3,20 76:10 82:3 83:13 quite 61:23 R R 1:10 Racine 24:1

railroad 25:2,4 raise 4:6 44:1,2 ramifications 37:7 random 56:20 57:1 range 9:24 80:5 Rapids 24:25 26:13 rare 18:10 rat 18:12 reach 41:24 read 7:14,15,20 32:5 32:7 54:17,18 57:18 58:2 61:10 62:22 76:19 81:5 reading 73:5 75:11 75:14 real 18:10,17 78:13 really 39:14 78:15 reason 8:21 57:4 60:8 66:4 reasonable 9:1 47:3 65:11 reasonably 47:16 48:13,21 rebuttal 81:9.18 recall 10:16 12:13 13:5 15:12 23:19

32:16 39:19 83:11 receive 16:24 recess 40:1 76:2 recognize 47:6 recognizing 29:14 recollect 24:17 26:15 58:1 recollection 10:24 13:7 24:15 25:1 64:9.14 recommended 37:4 reconstruct 70:7 reconvene 39:22 record 5:12 7:21 27:25 28:10 30:7 32:8 35:18,21 39:25 40:4 54:19 57:17 62:23 74:16 76:1,7 85:7 recorded 75:14 recovery 37:7 redirect 82:2 reduced 85:6 reexamining 6:17 refer 65:25 reference 45:16 referenced 61:8 68:11 75:12 77:15 referred 11:24 15:9 19:8 61:7 63:25 66:14,18 67:13 70:12 77:4 78:7 referring 17:23,24 31:15 43:6 73:20 73:22 refers 52:11 59:9 refinishing 26:18 reflect 8:16 33:23 35:19,22 59:22 reflected 12:1 30:8 reflecting 72:15 reflects 28:11 35:22 59:25 **refused** 15:18 refuse-derived 33:22 regard 12:22 16:4,8 17:11 25:8 37:13 52:20 53:11 55:2 62:20 64:9.10 67:12 73:5 78:23 79:23 80:3 regarding 11:19 13:17 14:3 16:7 24:23 26:19 38:8 39:11 73:10,11 Registry 75:9 regulated 23:17

regulations 12:23,24

**relate** 71:9

related 72:4,25 74:18 85:9
relation 71:15
relative 85:10
release 57:13
released 42:25 57:10
57:14 59:10 65:23
relevant 53:13 73:7 relied 60:19 63:5
64:17 68:2,15
78:18
<b>rely</b> 79:2
remember 5:2,3
10:11,14,18 19:25
21:9 23:1 25:12,13 26:16 59:13
remove 36:20
render 13:12
rendered 13:6 14:18
17:3 50:25 54:1
72:3
repeat 31:23 32:1 rephrase 5:8 50:19
59:1 63:1
report 5:24 6:4,5,8,16
6:17,18,20 7:25
12:6 38:14 40:7,10
40:24 41:3,4,18,23
42:1,15,16 43:12 43:16,18,23 44:2
44:13 45:7,11 49:2
49:23 50:13,14,15
51:11,15 52:4,11
53:8,10 55:7,8,21
55:22 56:3,6,8,18
56:20 57:3,3,20,25 58:2,15,18,23 59:2
59:21,23,24 60:9
60:20 61:5,6,6 63:6
63:7,20,23 64:3,7
64:11,11,18,20
65:16,22 67:7,8,11 67:19 68:2,15,25
69:10 70:4,9,15,16
70:23 71:7 72:10
72:11 16 17 19 21
72:23 73:7,12,21 73:25 74:2,15,17
73:25 74:2,15,17
74:24 75:2,3,18 76:23 77:6 78:18
79:3,5,6,8,16,18,20
79:21 80:1,24 81:6
81:9,19 82:10
reported 67:19
reporter 1:23 2:23
4:17 7:15,20 31:25 32:5,5,7 54:16,18
61:9 62:17,22 76:9
85:1,2,21

reports 11:4 52:25	s
54:1,24 57:8,19	S
58:5,7	S
represent 3:11 42:20	
66:15 represented 10:16	S
27:21,23 67:6	S
representing 15:9	s
25:7	s
reprocessing 38:9	S
requested 7:20 32:7 54:18 62:22	S
research 25:19 27:24	S
28:4,11 39:5 47:24	S
residents 13:1	s
Resources 23:5	S
respect 11:16 18:4 28:17 34:21 38:1	S
70:8 81:13	S
Respectfully 48:10	S
respiratory 22:12	s
33:15	S
response 4:25 19:1	S
19:13 47:13 49:19 <b>responses</b> 4:18,19	
responsible 12:22	
restate 50:19	
result 11:5 13:14,25	s
48:15 49:4 50:18	S
51:12 <b>resume</b> 20:9	s
resumed 40:2 76:3	s
retained 15:8 40:18	s
reusing 38:10	S
review 20:15 67:15 75:22 79:13,15	S
reviewed 79:16,16	s
79:20,22	
<b>Reynolds</b> 33:20 34:5	
re-read 62:17	S
Rice 40:22 Richard 75:4 80:24	S
right 4:3,21,25 5:5	3
12:7 23:1 37:15	s
44:21 49:20 50:22	
54:10 58:11 60:5	
63:3 64:16 65:16 66:6 69:18 71:21	S
71:25 82:2	s
risk 46:7 53:17	
risks 47:2	s
role 40:14 60:24	S
Room 1:22 Rottier 25:5	S
route 18:15 43:4	S
routes 43:2 51:18,19	s
	S
<b>S</b> 1:10	S
<b>U</b> 1.10	

```
safe 45:25
sales 26:17
samples 22:7 23:1
  26:23 64:4,5
sampling 56:24 64:1
  78:19 79:2,4
SATER 1:15
sauce 21:17,18 36:2
saw 69:11 78:5
saying 30:4 74:3
says 55:22 57:6
scenario 39:15
schedule 74:7
Science 19:9
scientific 73:5
screening 46:23
se 12:8 66:16 68:4
second 11:16 23:9
seconds 3:19
secretary 74:9
secrets 37:13
Section 65:20
see 9:18 10:1,11 11:9
  15:1 17:1 20:5 30:8
 45:14,17 47:17
 67:9,18 70:11
 76:23 79:2.17
seeking 15:25
seen 16:6 76:11
 78:18 79:4
selected 47:14 56:25
sells 15:13
semicircles 83:2
send 44:16 45:1
sent 6:4 67:22 68:14
  74:5
sentence 4:2 47:15
  57:11 76:18,23
  77:16
separately 52:6
serve 10:8 44:22
served 10:5 11:21
  20:10
services 9:17 10:3
  24:25 28:5 71:20
 72:3,4
serving 8:6 10:6
  34:14,21
set 22:11 46:23 48:24
 85:15
setting 22:14 47:1
settings 53:13
sewer 37:11
SEYMOUR 1:15
shampoos 24:6
sheet 85:4
Sherlock 14:25 15:10
  15:13 17:4,11 27:3
Shippingport 22:17
```

```
Shorthand 1:23 85:2
                         67:17 68:12,18
 85:21
                         69:5 77:13 78:13
shoulders 4:19
                       South 1:22
show 41:23 55:22
                       soy 21:16,18 36:2
showed 64:2 82:20
                       spacial 51:20
 83:8,9
                       spans 28:11
shower 36:11
                       speak 4:5 54:24
showing 83:2
                       speaking 3:22 4:2,3
shows 9:24
                         17:10.11.13
shruas 4:19
                       specific 9:23 13:7
Shuttleworth 26:12
                         20:21 23:13,23
                         40:7 47:20,20 63:2
sic 66:8
sick 23:3
significant 8:11
                       specifically 17:11
similar 11:17
                         24:3 36:22 37:25
Similarly 33:2 75:2
                         38:17 41:17,18,25
                         42:13 65:14 69:4
simple 7:7
simply 5:3 6:23 28:10
                         77:22
                       spellings 68:7
  38:5 78:1
single 17:24 18:4
                       spent 26:4 71:12
Sioux 25:12
                       spoken 58:15,19
sir 45:8
                         76:16
sit 20:1 77:5 82:9
                       spots 82:23
site 13:10 14:10,12
                       Standard 47:1
                       standards 16:7 46:6
 42:5 44:4 53:1 55:1
 55:2,19 56:22
                         47:11
 60:17 61:1 75:11
                       standpoint 14:16
 77:15,17,22
                         45:25
sites 83:7,8
                       stands 43:23
sitting 8:18 20:17
                       start 18:3 43:17
 23:16 69:8,18
                       Starting 21:7
six 46:19
                       state 1:23 17:1 23:4,8
sixth 19:10
                         23:17 42:1 57:5
size 49:10
                       stated 47:15 49:11
skin 24:6
                         58:12 60:23 65:13
small 38:6
                         66:2 77:8 85:3
Smith 25:11
                       statement 48:14
smoke 16:10
                         52:23
smoker 16:11
                       statements 4:20 50:6
smoking 16:24
                         50:13 81:6
sodium 21:20
                       states 1:1 26:20
soil 21:14 22:3 51:17
                         76:19 85:2
 51:18 53:3 64:1
                       sterile 22:4
 82:18,19
                       storage 57:7
soils 22:2
                       store 26:17
sole 38:12
                       straight 62:13
solely 47:13 82:10
                       stream 56:23,23
solutions 33:7
                       streams 55:17 65:4
solvents 7:10,11
                         77:10
solving 22:22
                       Street 1:12.17 85:22
somebody 60:6 84:8
                       student 21:12
Sons 24:1,2 36:10
                       studied 53:4 54:4
sorry 12:5 50:1,15,19
                         69:10,13
 65:1 77:23 81:24
                       studies 22:13 25:19
sort 52:2 66:20 81:8
                         30:18 32:17,24
 83:18
                         33:10 48:4,4,5,5,6
sorts 39:1
                       study 22:1 32:11
source 69:19 70:8,25
                         69:14
sources 46:12 64:17
                       studying 37:25
```

subgroup 47:14 subject 9:16 19:2 28:24 30:20 40:25 42:17 44:3,4,6 45:6 60:20 63:7 82:2 subjects 27:21 submission 6:12 submit 6:9 **submitted** 6:6.8 8:6 34:3 53:1 58:5 71:12 Subramanian 33:3 subsequent 6:17 8:14 37:20 subsequently 7:3 **subset** 66:21 **substance** 13:5,15 17:7 18:14 35:3 40:6,12 45:13 46:15,25 48:12,15 63:18 substances 14:9 28:25 29:2,7 30:10 30:21 35:24 50:17 50:23 52:6 55:10 56:5 58:22 59:4,18 63:7,14 64:22 67:23 68:24 69:1 69:10,13 70:5,9,22 70:24 71:1 75:9 78:3,3,8,9 79:7 substance's 17:5 substantial 41:8,21 43:11 45:6 substantive 16:13 **substitute** 6:3,7,9 7:3 7:5,7 45:11 substitution 6:15 **suffered** 13:13,24 sufficiently 53:4 54:4 suggest 53:1 suggested 62:15 69:21 77:14 suggestion 82:11 suggestions 53:25 suggests 56:22 suite 1:12 47:2 50:12 50:17,22 51:24 sulfone 32:25 sulfoxide 32:25 Sullivan 27:2 summarize 7:4 summarizes 57:9 65:22 **summary** 75:4 82:14 supervision 85:6 supplemental 44:13 **supplied** 25:7 55:16 58:22 66:7 77:11

supply 56:23 support 8:16 sure 3:17 4:16 18:2 28:17 29:12 31:6 32:15 34:24,25 37:19 40:6 77:5 surface 53:2 **surmise** 78:12 susceptibilities 18:20 susceptibility 18:22 19:14 49:12 susceptible 47:8 sworn 3:5 85:4 synergistic 42:23 43:8 synopsis 42:20 system 22:11,13,14 22:19 27:8 36:8,19 37:11 systems 37:24 **S.C** 24:1,2 36:10

Т

ta 74:4,4 table 20:8 take 39:20 51:3,8 61:13,15 73:11 75:21,25 taken 1:21 26:23,24 75:7 85:10 talked 25:23 61:5 65:20 talking 17:14 18:11 18:12 23:13 37:18 47:4 55:8 72:6,8,11 72:18 Tammy 1:23 85:2,20 **TCE** 80:3,4,18 teach 27:18,19,20 28:3 teaching 8:16 27:22 Teesch 33:3 telephone 74:8 tell 5:3.22 13:22 52:22 63:11 70:24 74:21 84:10 temporal 51:20 ten 19:23,25 term 44:19 terminate 61:18 terms 11:2,18 33:9 42:7 49:10 51:20 72:2 73:12,13 79:19 test 24:8 83:8 tested 21:20 **testified** 3:6 4:13

16:4 20:2

testify 15:8 85:4 testifying 14:21 testimony 5:19 10:21 14:18 15:3,4,5 16:2 16:14 19:18,20 20:12 54:8,13 63:10 69:16 78:11 81:16 85:7 testing 22:11.15 24:11 text 75:15 textbook 19:8,11 texts 75:12 thank 4:12 7:22 20:7 20:23 23:24 31:11 57:24 82:1 83:12 83:15,21,24,25 **thereof** 85:13 thing 34:20 83:18 things 21:17 75:16 think 23:15 28:14 29:25 32:11 35:21 37:11 42:9 48:10 53:19 56:14 57:14 71:3 75:22 78:10 80:6,25 Thorne 1:20 2:3,11 3:2,4,9 7:15 28:9 31:7 33:3,20 34:5 61:24 62:13 76:4 76:21 81:13 82:6,8 83:15 thought 6:2 thousands 83:10 three 39:22 ties 25:2,4 time 8:6,15 10:7,9,24 11:1,1 16:7,17 17:8 21:4,12 34:3 39:13 39:16 47:21 49:9 57:19,25 58:2,14 58:18 59:23 60:7 63:19,21,23 64:6,9 64:12,15 71:11 72:9,11,17,23,25 73:10,21,24 74:16 75:17 78:17,25 79:3,5,6,18 83:13 times 49:12 63:25 65:2 title 33:22 **TJ** 85:25 today 3:17 5:19 8:18 63:21 69:9 76:12 79:13 82:9 83:16 Toenail 31:8 told 53:24 60:6 63:4 66:12

top 8:24 34:2

toxic 24:10,23 26:8 28:1,13,25 29:1 38:8 40:12,16 41:20 42:3,3,4,19 60:25 65:23 73:14 75:9 toxicants 38:19 39:9 toxicity 39:11,17 41:11 42:19 43:4 43:15 46:8 49:13 56:19 79:24,24 toxicologic 13:8,19 14:4,16 38:22 73:6 75:8.12 toxicological 14:2 17:5 30:20 toxicology 11:2,20 19:9.12 22:10 27:18 39:4 75:13 trade 37:13 transcript 62:11 75:6 85:7 transmission 3:18 14:5 53:2 84:6 transmissions 25:18 65:9 transport 13:20 14:5 14:18 40:20 41:1 44:4 61:2 transported 14:10,12 29:8 travel 83:20 treatment 34:7 36:19 37:7 trial 14:21 15:3,5,23 20:12 trichloroethylene 45:14 46:3 47:16 49:4 troubleshooting 22:14 true 67:21 85:3,7 truth 85:4.4 try 26:15 30:15 32:15 42:9 49:20 50:14 52:1,19 53:20 60:5 63:3 72:13 trying 22:11 47:3 65:14 67:3 turn 6:1 9:11 38:13 45:10 two 6:12 16:24 19:18 33:22 39:22 58:7 62:24 type 47:13 74:8 types 22:2 24:5 typically 8:22 16:6

49:8

U **U** 3:1 uh-huh 4:20 ultimately 72:16 uncivil 62:6 undergraduate 27:18 underlying 18:23 19:14 underneath 82:24 understand 3:12 5:7 5:9 9:10 13:2,21 17:22 20:22 29:20 30:4 31:19 34:24 40:6 43:9 49:1,21 50:20 55:2 57:15 63:4 65:15 66:25 69:8 71:16 81:20 understanding 29:23 40:17 53:3 63:8 understood 5:12 15:21,25 43:25 52:18.22 53:24 63:10 undertake 80:14 unifying 28:12 Union 21:11,25 35:23 36:3.4 United 1:1 26:20 **units** 36:8 University 1:21 **unknown** 41:15 **unsafe** 46:5,20 unstudied 41:15 updated 8:15 use 13:9 16:1 22:15 36:11 37:5 39:6 46:11 55:18 59:25 60:15 78:13 utilization 34:17 **U.S** 34:15

V variety 14:14 27:20 various 22:2 61:8 67:17 vast 28:5,15 ventilating 27:7 ventilation 22:19 27:8 36:8 venture 51:21 verbal 4:19 versa 73:24 versus 11:24 14:25 15:1 17:12 27:3 vice 73:24 vicinity 13:1 26:24 52:17 53:6,14 54:5

55:6,11 60:3 65:8

78:23

**video** 1:11,15,22 3:17 83:17 view 19:3 63:6 65:17 viewing 68:10 vinyl 79:23 80:2,9 virtue 47:8 Vitae 8:5,12 9:5,12 27:12 79:17 **Vitaes** 8:14 volume 83:11 **VORYS** 1:15 **vs** 1:5

#### W

Wait 17:15 71:18 Walworth 21:16 want 4:8 20:18 28:3 29:18 34:24 35:18 40:5 74:12 84:9 wanted 37:19 81:17 War 34:15,15,16 Ward 27:2 warning 81:15,15 warnings 15:21 wasn't 12:21 38:11 66:4 79:1 waste 33:10 37:7 55:16 56:23 57:7 65:3 77:10 wastewater 34:7 36:19 water 30:10,19 32:13 33:14 34:18 35:5 35:12,25 36:2,5,7 36:12,17,20 37:24 45:17,25 46:3 51:16 53:3 82:19 waterborne 36:9 water-based 33:7 Wausau 26:6 way 5:9,13 6:14,23 20:25 24:8 28:1,13 28:15,19 43:10,18 44:21 50:14 59:18 60:5 62:7 63:4 68:2 68:16 70:7 72:13 73:5 83:21 **Web** 77:15,17,22 weight 48:2 Welding 11:25 14:25 Wengart 25:11 Wetherington 40:21 Wetherington-Rice 43:25 75:3 79:21 We'll 39:21 72:13 we're 3:16 17:14 18:11 31:6 35:13 39:20,24 42:10 49:16 61:12 81:12

we've 25:23 26:10 39:21 65:20 whereof 85:15 White 27:5 **Williams** 58:16 willing 9:2 willingness 83:21 windblown 51:17 Wisconsin 21:16 24:1 25:6 withdraw 44:11 witness 2:2 9:7,10,18 11:21 17:17 19:20 20:22 29:20,22,25 30:4 31:23 34:22 45:12 50:1 56:13 61:10,14,16,19,22 62:2.16 75:24 83:23 85:3,5,7,15 women 34:14 wood 26:18 word 77:2 words 70:20 77:3 work 11:15,17 22:6 27:13,16 28:16 72:16,18 74:18 80:8,12 worked 21:13 22:9 24:10 worker 24:19,22 26:7 26:18 33:20 workers 33:25 working 21:24 22:25 workplace 24:16 world 18:10,17 wouldn't 52:18 66:4 writing 44:23 85:6 written 6:23 44:16,18 72:10,12 wrote 63:19,23 64:7 72:23 73:21,25

# veah 80:10

year 8:23 81:2 years 19:16,21,23,25 29:14 vear's 16:17 Yesterday 80:15,22 **vield** 52:2

0 03:1,1 **084-003947** 85:22

1 **1** 2:12 3:2 5:22,24 6:2 6:5 12:5,6,8 14:24 19:19 38:13 48:8

67:9 1:00 75:21 1:02-CV-1454 1:5 **10** 8:22 55:24 58:9,13 66:9 70:21 71:8 74:17 10:31 40:1 **10:37** 40:2 **102** 31:5 **11** 12:5 14:24 19:19 32:22 56:1 58:9,11 58:13 71:8 74:17 **11:46** 76:2 12 1:12 32:23 **12:03** 76:3 **12:19** 84:2 **1213** 85:21 **13** 32:14 33:3 **1375** 1:17 **15** 33:19 **16** 8:22,22 34:14 16th 85:15 **18** 8:9,20 34:2 **1982** 21:7,11,15 35:17,23 **1983** 21:25 36:3 **1985** 22:5 **1986** 22:8 **1987** 22:8 33:1 **1988** 22:16 36:6 1989 22:24 **1990** 23:4,25 24:14 **1991** 24:21 **1995** 25:5,11,15 **1997** 9:25

2 **2** 2:12 3:1,2 6:1,3,6,7

1998 25:25 37:21

1999 9:25 26:7

8:3,5,13 21:1 30:8 34:20 45:10 58:3,7 58:8 65:22,22 66:8 2A 47:16 48:8 2B 48:8 **20** 29:14 200 1:12 29:15 **2000** 11:8 26:12 **2002** 26:20 **2003** 27:2 **2005** 8:9,20 27:5 **2006** 1:22 11:8 84:2 85:15 **2100** 1:16 **216-479-6100** 1:18

23 1:21 50:24 51:3

2516 85:22

3 3 2:5,12,12 76:4,9 3.5-dimethyl-tetrah... 33:4 30 55:25 74:1 31 56:1 74:1 **32** 33:2 56:1 74:1 33 56:1 74:2

**40th** 85:22 **43** 32:13 44114-1724 1:17 **45202** 1:13

**51** 9:12,15 21:1 34:19 35:14 **513-721-2180** 1:13 **52** 9:16.24 21:1 34:19 35:14 **52807-1553** 85:23

5

**6** 1:22 3:1,1 34:2 84:2 **66** 33:19

7 **76** 2:12 8

**82** 2:6 **85** 2:23

**87** 21:25 36:3 9 **9** 42:18 49:23 9:15 1:22 90 39:21 **94** 34:13 **97** 25:24 99 25:24